

# T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

-- By Robert Thorne --

**There exists only one form of pure totalitarianism: Monotheism.** For those that disagree, all they have to do to prove the statement wrong is to explain what is left out. What is it that is completely beyond the preview of god? What is it god did not create, has no control or power over whatsoever and cannot diminish nor destroy? An explanation backed by evidence not successfully rebutted remains valid. Until the questions are answered the statement stands as correct. Only after the questions have been answered can we go on to discuss whether monotheism *is* or *is not* totalitarianism. So far, no one has answered the questions nor given even one defensible example.

When you look up totalitarianism in the dictionary or on the web it will be called a political form. I disagree in the most strenuous terms. **Totalitarianism at its root is an ideological construct.** Politics forms only one aspect of the totalitarian worldview. All concerns of human endeavor are subject to totalitarian subordination. Nothing can be left out, in competition with or opposed to the totalitarian mindset. At its core totalitarianism claims to answer only to a "higher authority" and places itself above politics. According to Billy Graham, "*The Gospel transcends the goals and methods of any political system or any society.*"<sup>1</sup> Everyone and everything becomes subjected to the authoritarian control of those that claim exclusive communication with the supposed "higher authority."

The more Christians insist in the power and autonomy of their 'devil' being equal to the power of their god the more they are admitting that there are two gods in their pantheon: one bad god and one (three-in-one) good god. The *Malleus Maleficarum* would certainly correct them on that issue. Then there are those that insist that their devil has all the powers, attributes and prerogatives of a god but still is not a god. A kind of co-equal but not, god. A lesser god. In other words, they want it their way on BOTH sides of the argument. A variation on the old 'heads I win tails you lose' dishonesty. That IS the essence and *modus operandi* of an operational totalitarianism.

That is why Christians are always talking about the "word" (of god) i.e. the bible. It can be used to answer all questions at all times in all places for all peoples on all sides of all issues of all arguments on all subjects, for all reasons etc, etc. No matter what the topic, arguments can be concocted on whatever side from the book of words. Not only do they demand the right, justified by their religion, to have the final say concerning issues of society but also they demand their view be the one enacted into civil law forcing those that do not share their religious view into compliance with it. That IS the essence and *modus operandi* of an operational totalitarianism.

At least eight years before George Bush Sr. made his "New World Order" speech and brought that phrase into current use I was talking about the same

---

<sup>1</sup> With all due respect to Mr. Graham the quote above should be put into context. The full quote is, "*To tie the Gospel to any political system, secular program, or society is wrong and will only serve to divert the Gospel. The Gospel transcends the goals and methods of any political system or any society, however good it may be.*" Though he is speaking against the mixing of government and religion, he nevertheless places religion above government.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

thing. I understood where it came from, what its ultimate goals are and how it was going to achieve them. The only difference was that I called it by its rightful name: The New World HOLY Order. At that time I was saying that its purpose is to create a world-wide Christian Communist Theocracy using governmental usurpation and economic monopolization (economic warfare) to get there. The news every day continues to confirm that prediction. George Bush was not the first to speak of a New World Order.

Only monotheism can provide the essential underlying "ideological construct" that makes a totalitarian society possible. Could totalitarianism exist without that underlying construct? Perhaps, but more than likely, not. Though they did not succeed in the absolute sense of creating a secular totalitarian society, we saw the USSR produce a closed nation with an oligarch government, a collectivist economic system and a society controlled to the extent the government was capable of controlling it. The Marxist brand of totalitarianism had the ostensible goal (underlying idea) of a classless society. But, an all-powerful government and a simultaneous classless society is an oxymoron. An all-powerful government as a means of achieving classless society is equally an oxymoron. The demise of the USSR within 100 years demonstrates the implausibility of totalitarianism being a secular phenomenon.

One of the main reasons totalitarianism failed in the USSR is because it was EXclusive. In answering the question above, 'what is left out?' we see in the old USSR that there were many things 'left out.' For example, *freedom*, and how about *religion*, then there's *private property* and the list could go on and on with things *not* found in the Soviet Union. Monotheism can be as inclusive as it needs to be according to the form of government it exists under. But, the greater theocratic political power becomes the more absolute and exclusive it becomes according to its dogma. Before our Constitution people were excommunicated, imprisoned or even put to death for things like non-belief, belief in other gods, adultery, blasphemy, heresy, apostasy and impiety. One only needs to look at Europe in the Dark and Middle Ages to see how theocratic totalitarianism does not allow choice.

Totalitarianism, to be such, must be INclusive. Thus we see gay christian churches and the most bigoted anti-gay groups being = christian churches. You can find war hawk christians and peacenik christians. There are liberal christians and then there are conservative christians. You can find socialist christians and anti-socialist christians. Jerry Falwell calls global warming a 'hoax' and then you can find 'green' christians who want to save the earth. There are christians that agree with globalism and there are christians that fight globalism. You can find pro-abortion christians and anti-abortion christians. All of these find justification from the same source. It could not be otherwise. However, what gives them this ability of choice is not belief or theology itself or the bible (in the case of christian monotheism). What gives them the freedom to exercise their choice is the Constitution!

What appears to be an inconsistency is merely the fact that otherwise restrictive, exclusive, authoritarian monotheism exists within a FREE society. Conservative, war hawk, anti-gay, anti-choice christians are using whatever governmental power they can at the federal level, state legislative level or the courts to pass laws against and outlaw the private activities of gays and/or pro-choice christians. But the latter are not seeking the same against the former. Remember that a woman's inability to vote and own property and black slavery lasted as long as they did because they were

## M O N O T H E I S M

justified by/with the bible until they were overcome and set free by/with the Constitution.

Extremists in their thinking would have it that a political/economic/society is either totalitarianism or it is not. Like turning on a light, it either is on or off. I do not consider this to be so. The old USSR made an attempt at totalitarianism but failed to achieve it. Likewise fascism in Italy under Mussolini made a try at it. They both made an attempt at constructing the beginning stages of a totalitarian society *and were successful to a degree* but were unsuccessful in an absolute sense. Neither one was a theocracy. They both lacked the underlying ideological construct that approaches a totalitarianism in which nothing can be left out. To date, no government, no matter how repressive, has managed to create a fully functional totalitarian society.

Totalitarianism is the idealized goal that is never sought under its own name. Pursuit of the goal is the highly focused ideological foundation of government activity to mobilize and muster energy and support for a single mass party as a continuing means to attain the final goal. Achievement of the goal can never be acknowledged because from that moment onward the only possible direction for political action would be a backward movement away from totalitarianism. The goal of the "perfect society" (approaching utopia) must always be the justification for the state and all actions of the state.

In order to create and maintain the totalitarian state, once it is achieved, it is essential the government sustain a climate of anxiety, fear and alarm by focusing the attention of the populace on enemies of the state. The totalitarian state has a psychological imperative for a class or racial enemy within and an external attacker from without. If an enemy is not available one must be created.

The external enemy, no matter how weak or impotent, must always be projected as so powerful and capable of defeating "us" that citizens must make extra effort and sacrifice immediately. Over the past 50 years Ho Chi Minh, Ferdinand Marcos, Manuel Noreaga, Muammar Gaddafi, Saddam Hussein and currently, Osama bin Laden have all taken their turn as the evil external enemy. The state uses these 'dangers' to justify any harm done even to its own people. On the verge of winning but never winning, loosing but never loosing, maintains a psychological imbalance that, when combined with a lack of accurate historical perspective and timely information of current events, turns active citizens into easily manipulated sheep.

The enemy within the existing society is always projected as decadent, polluted, evil, etc., and it is the citizen's duty to replace the existing society with the apocalyptic pure "perfect society." Their line is always that, "If we can just get rid of these, heretics, tree hugger environmentalists, feminists, dissidents, protesters, gays, [add your own 'enemy of the state' here] etc., etc., then all will be well."

In the theological totalitarian state this psychological imbalance and climate of anxiety, fear and alarm is maintained by focusing the attention of the populace on the "End Times." Extra effort and sacrifice must be made immediately because of "Horrible Events, wars, famines, pestilence, and earthquakes," "Tribulation," "The Beast," "Harlot of Babylon," "the Second Coming," "Antichrist," "Apocalypse," and "Judgment Day." Of course everyone else is "deceived by false teachers" and only believers have the "ultimate truth." But, will it be the Jewish Armageddon, "End of Days" and messiah or

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

the Christian Apocalypse? They are not the same thing nor produce the same end result.

Neither people nor societies are perfect. The utopian visions of fanatics and the reality of a totalitarian state have historically disastrously been socially, economically and politically incompatible. For the failure to achieve the promised heaven on earth the paranoid 'leaders' must find someone to blame. Another endless witch-hunt, pogrom, heretic burning, ethnic cleansing, scapegoats killing, or war on terrorism by the Eternal Forces of true believers must be undertaken. Yet after each one the cognitive dissonance increases as the gap between the promised ideal and reality grows even larger and more evident. Thus the application of totalitarian theory itself ends up creating the Prison State mentality, misery and grief of a self-fulfilling hell on earth.

The mind of the western world has been under the domination of thinking that came out of the Judeo-Christian religion for two thousand years. To seek answers beyond that restricted framework was often punished by death. Our constitution gives people freedom to study any subject(s) without needing permission from ecclesiastic authority. This stands as the great difference between our time and the centuries that preceded the Constitution of the United States. Before America, the history of Europe was one of Inquisition, witch hysteria, religious persecution, religious wars and ecclesiastic domination of all ideas, scientific and otherwise. The great achievement of our Constitution was its safeguards to protect the people from the ideological domination of ecclesiastical controlled government.

Humankind has advanced further scientifically, intellectually and technologically in the last one hundred years than all of the previous centuries put together. America has lead the way in these fields because our Constitution gives citizens in this country freedom of religion. More accurately it gives people freedom from religion. To state it another way, 'If there is no freedom from religion there is no freedom of religion.' Evangelicals keep hyping the lie that this is a nation based on Christianity. The truth is that America is based on FREEDOM! We have a bell in Washington it is not called the 'god' bell it is called the "Liberty Bell". America is based on individual freedom and individual liberty.

To understand the Constitution and Bill of Rights one must view history as seen through the eyes of the Framers. What the Framers saw was the history of Europe and the abuse of power by two groups: the Crown and the Church. Kings and Queens, in the name of god, could change the laws from day to day and from person to person. The Church Inquisition 'courts' were the worst engine of injustice the world had ever seen. As one reviews each section of the Constitution and Bill of Rights that relate to the interaction of the laws, the courts, and the people they can be traced back to the abuse of power by the Crown and/or the Church.

The Christians that first came to the New World brought with them the religious values of intolerance, bigotry and the exclusive dogmatism that inflamed the Old World for centuries. They did NOT come here with any grand noble intent of establishing religious freedom for all. Far from it. The Colonies were each founded as religious theocratic tyrannies. Each Colony sanctioned but one official established church that was supported by taxes and received privileges granted to no other denomination. Massachusetts was Puritan and actively persecuted Quakers and other dissident Protestant sects well into the eighteenth century. The Salem Witch trials commenced in 1692.

## M O N O T H E I S M

*Several acts of the Virginia assembly of 1659, 1662, and 1693, had made it penal in parents to refuse to have their children baptized; had prohibited the unlawful assembling of Quakers; had made it penal for any master of a vessel to bring a Quaker into the state; had ordered those already here, and such as should come thereafter, to be imprisoned till they should abjure the country; provided a milder punishment for their first and second return, but death for their third; had inhibited all persons from suffering their meetings in or near their houses, entertaining them individually, or disposing of books which supported their tenets.<sup>2</sup>*

The Church of England (Anglican) was the established church in Virginia; Puritan churches in New England, and the Dutch Reformed Church in New Netherlands. Every colony founded in the New World before the mid-seventeenth century was its own little religious authoritarian despotism. The exception was Maryland. Catholic Maryland's dependence on Protestant settlers ruled out any church establishment. William Penn, a Quaker, founded the colony of Pennsylvania in 1682, that Quakers and other groups would have a haven with complete religious liberty. English and German Quakers, Mennonites, Moravian Brethren and German Anabaptists responded to the wisdom of Penn's religious openness.

Jerry Falwell:

*[T]he so-called separation of church and state is not a "founding principle" of this nation and can be found nowhere in the U.S. Constitution (the document reflecting the founding principles of our Founders).<sup>3</sup>*

James Madison:

*Strongly guarded as is the separation between Religion and Government in the Constitution of the United States, the danger of encroachment by Ecclesiastical Bodies, may be illustrated by precedents already furnished in their short history.<sup>4</sup>*

Thomas Jefferson:

*I contemplate with sovereign reverence that act of the whole American people which declared that their legislature should make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibit the free exercise thereof, thus building a wall of separation between church and state.<sup>5</sup>*

James Madison:

---

<sup>2</sup> Thomas Jefferson, *Notes on the State of Virginia*, Query 17.

<sup>3</sup> Jerry Falwell, *Confidential* July 31, 2003.

<sup>4</sup> James Madison, *Monopolies, Perpetuities, Corporations, Ecclesiastical Endowments*. Madison was the secretary at the Constitutional Convention, taking down everything that was said. So, it was more than likely that he knew exactly what the Framers meant.

<sup>5</sup> Thomas Jefferson, Letter to the Baptists of Danbury, Connecticut, January 1, 1802. He also said: "It does me no injury for my neighbor to say there are twenty gods or no God." The Danbury Baptists wrote to Jefferson to congratulate him on his election to the presidency and express agreement with his views on religious liberty. The Baptists hoped Jefferson's views would become the national model and free them from Connecticut's oppressive Congregationalist state church.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*The civil government ... functions with complete success ... by the total separation of the Church from the State.*<sup>6</sup>

D. James Kennedy:

But the fact is, the United States of America was conceived and brought forth by Christians, and history tells us that story in no uncertain terms.... Anyone who reads about the values upon which this nation was founded understands perfectly well that this was, from the start, a Christian nation.<sup>7</sup>

Thomas Jefferson:

*History, I believe, furnishes no example of a priest-ridden people maintaining a free civil government. This marks the lowest grade of ignorance of which their civil as well as religious leaders will always avail themselves for their own purposes.*<sup>8</sup>

Treaty of Tripoli:

*As the government of the United States is not, in any sense, founded on the Christian religion; as it has in itself no character of enmity against the laws, religion or tranquility of Musselmen [Muslims] ... it is declared ... that no pretext arising from religious opinion shall ever product an interruption of the harmony existing between the two countries....*

*The United States is not a Christian nation any more than it is a Jewish or a Mohammedan nation.*<sup>9</sup>

Benjamin Franklin:

*If we look back into history for the character of the present sects in Christianity, we shall find few that have not in their turns been persecutors, and complainers of persecution. The primitive Christians thought persecution extremely wrong in the Pagans, but practiced it on one another. The first Protestants of the Church of England blamed persecution in the Romish Church, but practiced it upon the Puritans. They found it wrong in Bishops, but fell into the practice themselves both here (England) and in New England.*<sup>10</sup>

Thomas Jefferson:

*Where the preamble declares, that coercion is a departure from the plan of the holy author of our religion, an amendment was proposed by inserting "Jesus Christ," so that it would read "A departure from the plan of Jesus Christ, the holy author of our religion;" the insertion was rejected by the great majority, in proof that they meant to comprehend,*

---

<sup>6</sup> James Madison, 1819, *Writings*, 8:432.

<sup>7</sup> *Character & Destiny: A Nation In Search of Its Soul*, (Zondervan Publishing House, 1997) (written with Jim Nelson Black).

<sup>8</sup> Thomas Jefferson: in letter to Alexander von Humboldt, December 6, 1813.

<sup>9</sup> *Treaty of Tripoli* (1797), carried unanimously by the Senate and signed into law by John Adams (the original language is by Joel Barlow, US Consul).

<sup>10</sup> Benjamin Franklin, in an essay on "Toleration."

## M O N O T H E I S M

*within the mantle of its protection, the Jew and the Gentile, the Christian and Mohammedan, the Hindoo and Infidel of every denomination.*<sup>11</sup>

Pat Robertson:

*There is nothing in the U.S. Constitution that sanctifies the separation of church and state.*<sup>12</sup>

James Madison:

*The settled opinion here is, that religion is essentially distinct from civil Government, and exempt from its cognizance; that a connection between them is injurious to both.*<sup>13</sup>

Thomas Jefferson:

*Because religious belief, or non-belief, is such an important part of every person's life, freedom of religion affects every individual. State churches that use government power to support themselves and force their views on persons of other faiths undermine all our civil rights. Moreover, state support of the church tends to make the clergy unresponsive to the people and leads to corruption within religion. Erecting the "wall of separation between church and state," therefore, is absolutely essential in a free society.*<sup>14</sup>

James Madison:

*The purpose of separation of church and state is to keep forever from these shores the ceaseless strife that has soaked the soil of Europe in blood for centuries.*<sup>15</sup>

The conservative evangelists quoted above, and many others, want you to believe the deception that just because the exact words "wall of separation between state and church," or words to that effect, do not distinctly appear in the body of the Constitution that the framers did not have that specific intent embodied in the 'establishment clause.' Their linguistic chicanery is clearly exposed when one looks at the other writings and words of the framers that clearly state that that was their specific intention. Though the exact words are not there the *principle* constitutes an essential component of the establishment clause. Clergymen a half-century after the establishment of the United States complained that no president up to that date had been a Christian. "*Among all our presidents from Washington downward, not one was a professor of religion, at least not of more than Unitarianism.*"<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup> Thomas Jefferson, in reference to the Virginia Act for Religious Freedom.

<sup>12</sup> Pat Robertson "The 700 Club," Oct. 2, 1984, (reported in *Church & State*, April 1996, pg. 10).

<sup>13</sup> James Madison; *Letter to Edward Everett*, March 18, 1823.

<sup>14</sup> Thomas Jefferson, Letter to the Virginia Baptists (1808). This is his second use of the term "wall of separation," here quoting his own use in the Danbury Baptist letter of 1802. This wording was several times upheld by the Supreme Court as an accurate description of the Establishment Clause: Reynolds (98 US at 164, 1879); Everson (330 US at 59, 1947); McCollum (333 US at 232, 1948).

<sup>15</sup> James Madison, in a letter objecting use of government land for churches 1803.

<sup>16</sup> Episcopal minister Bird Wilson of Albany, New York, 1831. From: [www.freethought.mbdjo](http://www.freethought.mbdjo)

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

Even to suggest that those who wrote our Constitution and designed this great nation wanted theocratic totalitarianism as its basic foundation is the greatest of dishonest lunacy. *If the founding fathers wanted a theocracy they would have designed one. They did not!* The Founders were acutely aware of the dangers of an established state church, having witnessed its historical effects in many European countries. They were also aware that if the 13 colonies continued as separate religious factions it would eventually lead to war between the colonial 'states' with foreign (European) countries backing each faction and colony. Under such circumstances there could never be unity, corporation, independence or freedom.

Our Founders designed for us a constitutional representative republic. They did not design a democracy! In a true democracy every *eligible* voter casts a vote on every issue. We do not vote on every issue. We elect representatives to do that for us. Democracy is tyranny of the majority! Thomas Jefferson said, "A democracy is nothing more than mob rule, where fifty-one percent of the people may take away the rights of the other forty-nine." The one great democratic principle they desired for posterity is: one person - one vote. It is a great credit to the wisdom of our founders that they gave us a secular representative republic.

In 1776 we came out of the bondage of forced religion. Most of the thirteen Colonies demanded that every man belong to the established religion of that Colony. The first Americans fought, with great bloodshed, their way to LIBERTY. Liberty is the balance between the force of government and the freewill of an individual to believe or not in the established religion of the state. Liberty has never been 'god given' and it is the largest religions we know of, in the name of god, who denounce freedom and deny liberty the most. America was not based upon divine fiat but upon the rights of the people to secure life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, and to limit the power of government to act only with consent of the governed.

Religious and ethnic conflicts continue to this day to divide, terrorize and destroy much of the world. Serbs and Croats, Sikhs and Hindus, Irish Catholics and Irish Protestants, Jews and Palestinians are all here in America living and working side by side even though they are still killing and burning each other's homes in many other countries. To rephrase the wise words of James Madison, 'The purpose of separation of state and church is to keep forever from these shores the ceaseless strife that has continued to soak the soil of Europe and much of the rest of the world in blood for the centuries before the Constitution and the centuries since.'

The Constitution can be seen as a document that set up a wall to block the *methods of tyranny* perpetrated against the people by sovereigns and inquisitors. Each part of the Bill of Rights can be traced back to a practice used by these two groups to victimize the individuals of a helpless populous. The Bill of Rights is (was) a check against the government to keep it from becoming an aggressive authoritarianism willing to run roughshod over the fundamental rights of citizens. What the framers could not do is to protect us from the *methods of tyranny* that have been developed since their time. Therefore we must heed the words of Thomas Jefferson; "*The price of freedom is eternal vigilance.*"

The same type of error is committed and promulgated by the same group of evangelicals concerning the foundation of American law. They tell us constantly that American common law is based on biblical law. They tell us

## M O N O T H E I S M

that English Common law was a transplant of biblical commandments. However, again, this is not so and Thomas Jefferson explains precisely why that is:

*For we know that the common law is that system of law which was introduced by the Saxons on their settlement in England, and altered from time to time by proper legislative authority from that time to the date of Magna Charta, which terminates the period of the common law. . . This settlement took place about the middle of the fifth century. But Christianity was not introduced till the seventh century; the conversion of the first christian king of the Heptarchy having taken place about the year 598, and that of the last about 686. Here then, was a space of two hundred years, during which the common law was in existence, and Christianity no part of it.*

*If, therefore, from the settlement of the Saxons to the introduction of Christianity among them, that system of religion could not be a part of the common law, because they were not yet Christians, and if, having their laws from that period to the close of the common law, we are all able to find among them no such act of adoption, we may safely affirm (though contradicted by all the judges and writers on earth) that Christianity neither is, nor ever was a part of the common law.<sup>17</sup>*

It may be hard to imagine but those individuals that wrote our Declaration of Independence, Constitution and Bill of Rights were the extremist activists' radicals of their time. The founders of our nation put their lives on the line just signing their names to the Declaration of Independence and Constitution. Their ideas of freedom of religion, freedom of speech, freedom of the press, equality under the law were ideas and actions that people were imprisoned and died for in Old World Europe. The very ideas of the freedoms that we take for granted today were both radical and revolutionary in 1776. Some countries in Europe, even to this day, are still under the domination of un-elected ecclesiastical authorities.

*The archbishop of St. Louis said: "Heresy and unbelief are crimes; and in Christian countries, as in Italy and Spain, for instance, where all people are Catholics, and where the catholic religion is an essential part of the law of the land, they are punished as crimes." ... "Every cardinal, archbishop, and bishop in the Catholic Church takes an oath of allegiance to the pope, in which occur the following words" "Heretic, schismatics, and rebels to our said lord (the pope), or his aforesaid successors, I will to my utmost persecute and oppose."<sup>18</sup>*

America is dying. A thousand Usama Bin Ladins could not do the kind and amount of damage to our Constitution and Bill of Right done by the government of the United States. It should not go unnoticed that those that fostered the reign of terror known as the inquisition on the Old World share the same religious philosophy with those today dismantling our great Constitution that so many have fought and died for. They claim a divine mission to take away our rights as private citizens. Witnessing the slow erosion of the Bill or Rights and its jurisdictional protections for over thirty years I have been calling what is coming back to America: The Born Again Inquisition.

---

<sup>17</sup> Thomas Jefferson, in his letter to Thomas Cooper on February 10, 1814. From the article *Little-Known U.S. Document Signed by President Adams Proclaims America's Government Is Secular* by Jim Walker at [earlyamerica.com](http://earlyamerica.com).

<sup>18</sup> Josiah Strong, "Our Country," ch. 5, pg. 2-4.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*The long-term goal of Christians in politics should be to gain exclusive control over the franchise. Those who refuse to submit publicly to the eternal sanctions of God by submitting to His Church's public marks of the covenant -baptism and holy communion- must be denied citizenship, just as they were in ancient Israel.<sup>19</sup>*

The paramount thing that the far-right fundamentalist Christians hate and fear more than anything else is freedom of religion. Why? Because it gives people the freedom not to believe and liberty from forced belief. The essential 'offense' of 'heresy' is thought-crime and only ideologically based totalitarianism need use force to enforce compliance. Enforcing orthodox thought was what the Inquisition did and what the Old World Order was all about. Thomas Jefferson warned us, "*In every country and every age, the priest had been hostile to Liberty.*" Independence of thought about religion is 'heresy.' "*The clergy of all sects are universally opposed to free thought and free speech, and if they had the power, even in our republic to day, would crush any man who dared to question the popular religion.*"<sup>20</sup> The Born Again Inquisition will decree persecution of nonbelievers, enforcement of the Dominion Mandate, and "execute wrath" against those who are insufficiently orthodox.

*So let us be blunt about it: we must use the doctrine of religious liberty to gain independence for Christian schools until we train up a generation of people who know that there is no religious neutrality, no neutral law, no neutral education, and no neutral civil government. Then they will get busy in constructing a Bible-based social, political and religious order which finally denies the religious liberty of the enemies of God.<sup>21</sup>*

They make it very clear: the enemy is liberty. To construct their New World HOLY Order "religious liberty" will be used as a springboard from which to undermine and ultimately destroy religious liberty and those they arbitrarily label "enemies of God." Religious intolerance deems all differing opinion as "the enemy." When defending public education Thomas Jefferson warned: "*The tax which will be paid for [the] purpose [of education] is not more than the thousandth part of what will be paid to kings, priests and nobles who will rise up among us if we leave the people in ignorance.*"<sup>22</sup> It's easy to see who rises up against public education and advocates the overthrow of our government so that they can replace it with an aristocratic "Bible-based social, political and religious order."

*If Catholics ever gain sufficient numerical majority in this country, religious freedom is at an end. So our enemies say; so we believe.<sup>23</sup>*

Almost every definition of totalitarianism includes, "*the forcible suppression of opposition.*" In order to take over anything (a country or the world) you must get rid of the competition. They display bumper stickers saying 'celebrate diversity' but will attack you if you voice any diverse

<sup>19</sup> Gary North, *Political Polytheism: The Myth of Pluralism*, Tyler, TX: Institute for Christian Economics, 1989, pg. 87

<sup>20</sup> Elizabeth Cady Stanton (1815-1902), from an unidentified lecture fragment from taxation on church property, c. 1877.

<sup>21</sup> Gary North, "The Intellectual Schizophrenia of the New Christian Right" in *Christianity and Civilization: The Failure of the American Baptist Culture*, No. 1 (Spring, 1982), pg. 25

<sup>22</sup> Thomas Jefferson to George Wythe, 1786.

<sup>23</sup> *The Shepherd of the Valley*, journal of the late bishop of St. Louis.

## M O N O T H E I S M

opinion about religion. At the same time they demand equal time for creationism they deny any time whatsoever for evolution in any venue they control. Suppression of political or ideological opposition and denial of liberty is an un-American anti-American activity. The "Bible-based social, political and religious order" they wish to construct will and can only be built upon the grave of a dead Constitution and Bill of Rights.

*The goal of America's Providential History is to equip Christians to be able to introduce Biblical principles into the public affairs of America, and every nation in the world, and in so doing bring Godly change throughout the world. We will be learning how to establish a Biblical form (and power) of government in America and we will see how our present governmental structures must be changed. Since the principles we will be learning are valid in every society and in any time in history, they will be able to be applied throughout the world and not just in America. As we learn to operate nations on Biblical principles, we will be bringing liberty to the nations of the world and hence fulfilling part of God's plan for the nations.<sup>24</sup>*

The New World HOLY Order comprises an unaccountable world government, a closed world economic system and one dominant world religion. Biblical Dominionism is authoritarian, intolerant, anti-competition and anti-democratic. It is so obviously terrible that they must hide it behind innocuous terms like "Civic Renewal." During elections candidates are coached to hide their extremist Christian personality and intent.

*World conquest. That's what Christ has commissioned us to accomplish. We must win the world with the power of the Gospel. And we must never settle for anything less. Thus, Christian politics has as its primary intent the conquest of the land - of men, families, institutions, bureaucracies, courts, and governments for the Kingdom of Christ. It is to reinstitute the authority of God's Word as supreme over all judgments, over all legislation, over all declarations, constitutions, and confederations.<sup>25</sup>*

The colonists, that came to escape the persecution they received at the hands other Christian fanatics, sought freedom to establish their own theocracy and persecute anyone who deviated from their religious beliefs. They succeeded until wiser men put an end to warring religious sects by instituting laws that gave real freedom to all and special privilege to none.

Now we have come full circle as the evangelicals and fundamentalists today push to overthrow that freedom and reinstitute a theocratic tyranny. The theocratic right seeks to establish dominion, or control "over every aspect and institution of human society" in the name of God. At a "Reclaiming America for Christ" conference in February, 2005, D. James Kennedy, Pastor of Coral Ridge Ministries, said:

*Our job is to reclaim America for Christ, whatever the cost. As the vice regents of God, we are to exercise godly dominion and influence over our neighborhoods, our schools, our government, our literature and arts, our sports arenas, our entertainment media, our news media, our*

---

<sup>24</sup> Mark A. Beliles and Stephen K. McDowell in *America's Providential History*.

<sup>25</sup> George Grant, *The Changing of the Guard* (Ft. Worth, TX: Dominion Press, 1987), pg. 50-51

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*scientific endeavors -- in short, over every aspect and institution of human society.*

There is no doubt about it, that IS the essence and *modus operandi* of an operational totalitarianism. What does he mean by "whatever the cost"? No matter how many Americans they have to kill? No matter what acts of treason against America need be committed? Both, and more? Justice dies and humanity suffers when 'the ends justify the means.' When others break laws and commandments they are labeled 'bad' but when fundamentalists Christians break laws and commandments, in order to gain power for the leaders of religious institutions, they are honored and labeled 'good'. They care not what is good or moral only to what is expedient and useful. Since 2005 the Ten Commandments Commission and millions of its fellow travelers have been lobbying to replace the Ten Amendments with the Ten Commandments. This would replace everyone's civil liberties with bible based doctrine, turn civil law into a dictatorship of dogma and turn civil courts into inquisitional tribunals.

Along with the push to get the Ten Commandments as part of civic law is the push to set up the Noahide Laws as the primary basis for International Law. There are seven basic laws or headings under which there are 66 laws. As part of UN international laws (read laws of the "New World HOLY Order") all American laws MUST conform. Violation of any one of the seven laws subjects the Noahide to capital punishment by decapitation. (Sanh. 57A) At the same time, the Jews themselves are under Talmudic Laws and not the Noahide Laws. Thus, they can violate the Noahide Laws without punishment. The world's largest religions teach that their own way will prevail in the end. Christians believe that Christ will rule the world. Muslims believe the whole world will someday accept their one God, Allah. Religious Jews await their future triumph promised by the Talmud. They anticipate the messiah they believe will exalt the Jewish people and Hebraic monotheism to dominate the nations.

Bishop O'Conner of Pittsburgh puts the goals of the church in plain language:

*Religious liberty is merely endured until the opposite can be carried into effect without peril to the Catholic Church.*

"Freedom of religion" and "separation of state and church" are inextricably interdependent. They are either side of the same coin. One cannot destroy either side of the coin and expect the other side of the coin to continue to exist unaffected. For evangelicals to openly state their intention to overthrow "freedom of religion" as loudly and avowedly as their intention to breach the "wall of separation between state and church" would expose the ultimate sinister purpose behind their agenda. Opposition to an open attack against "freedom" would greatly diminish their chance of success. People within their movement understand the 'coded language' but the vast majority of Americans do not yet understand the tragic consequences that would follow the loss of this most fundamental Freedom.

When religious liberty is overthrown what will replace it? In the absence of liberty there can only be despotism, subjugation, oppression and tyranny. How could religious liberty possibly be overthrown without simultaneously overthrowing our constitutional republic? Religious liberty is based on freedom of conscience, freedom of thought, freedom of speech, freedom of association and freedom from force in all these matters. The only form of

## M O N O T H E I S M

non-freedom government that enforces religious absolutism is called a theocracy. Will there be forced conversion of Jews, Mormons, Buddhists, Muslims as well as non-believers? Is it going to be a Catholic or a Protestant theocracy? Is the Catholic invasion from Central and South America here to wage war with Protestantism to see who will be top dog?

Evangelical Dominionists are pre-millennialists and think Jesus has to return to usher in the kingdom of God on earth. Reconstructionists are post-millennialists and think Jesus expects them to usher in the kingdom of God before he returns and anticipate doing it by force of law and/or by force of arms. Reconstructionists are committed to making the Mosaic Law, a strict literal interpretation of Biblical law, the law of the land in the U.S. Here are their goals:

- 1) Make the ten commandments the law of the land,
- 2) Strengthen patriarchally ordered families,
- 3) Make parents totally responsible for the education of their children, the ultimate destruction of the public education system replaced with vouchers and eventually forcing children into religious indoctrination centers.
- 4) Reduce the role of government to the defense of property rights,
- 5) Require "tithes" to ecclesiastical agencies to provide welfare services and use of public monies for proselytizing religious organizations under the guise of faith-based charities. (i.e. a religious tax.)
- 6) Close prisons - reinstitute slavery as a form of punishment and require capital punishment for all [more than 200] of ancient Israel's capital offenses - including apostasy, blasphemy, incorrigibility in children, murder, rape, Sabbath breaking, sodomy, and witchcraft.

Dominionism, unlike more 'typical' Christian fundamentalism, opposes the idea that Christians should stay out of politics, and explicitly mandates that they work to bring about a theocracy. Dispensationalists assert that Israel is a necessary prelude to the rise of the Antichrist and when Israel is intact and Solomon's temple rebuilt Christ will come back to earth. It is the ultimate god centered conspiracy theory of all-or-nothing fundamentalist using Scripture to chirography world events. Other parts of the premillennial, dispensational, Reconstructionists, Dominionists, evangelical, fundamentalist Christian agenda are well known.

- The elimination of the wall of separation between church and state.
- The elimination of Supreme Court review of laws regarding abortion, religion, or anything else related to the Bill of Rights. In these areas, Congress should be allowed to pass any laws it wishes. (i.e. elimination of the "checks and balances" America's government was founded upon.)
- The end of all abortion rights no matter what the circumstance. This includes contraception in any form.
- No cloning or stem cell research regardless of how many people could be helped or how many have to suffer.
- Overturn Oregon's euthanasia law and prevent any other state from passing a similar law.
- The end of labor unions and all collective bargaining.
- The end of federal minimum wage laws.
- A hard-line position against gay marriage and all other gay rights issues. The criminalization of homosexual relations.
- The end of all welfare, unemployment benefits and Medicaid.
- A continued effort to appoint religious conservatives to the federal courts.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

- The end of SSIS and Social Security.
- The continued prohibition against marihuana - The Burning Bush of Moses.
- Creationism and "Creation science" repackaged as "intelligent design," taught in the public schools alongside (eventually replacing) Evolution.

Dominionists are ideologically monistic, allowing for only one set of ideas, the so-called party line, to be believed, discussed, and implemented. What we know as "religious fundamentalism" is essentially theocratic totalitarianism. It is the wish to have an entire society conform strictly to a particular set of religious dogmas, which are held to be absolute. As in all forms of totalitarianism, questioning the existing social, political or religious order is not tolerated. Opinions that are contrary to those of the ruling religious authorities draconian punishments are meted out. Protest against the injustice of draconian punishments and they will conjure up the boogey-man accusation of terrorism.

Dispensationalists assert that Israel is a necessary prelude to the rise of their Antichrist and when Israel is intact and Solomon's temple rebuilt Christ will come back to earth. An intact Israel, also called the "one state [final] solution", cannot happen without ethnic, cultural, religious and human genocide. Christian soldiers do not care about peace at all and will not be satisfied until there's total war. This is the ultimate god centered conspiracy theory of all-or-nothing fundamentalist using Scripture to chirography world events. They demand Armageddon, and they want it now and being unwilling to wait for it to arrive of its own accord are actively manipulating global affairs to bring it about.

When conservatives talk of a "Culture War" it's really just a mask for religious war against freedom. Their political strategy is to unite their base by inciting apocalyptic fears and encouraging hatred of those who are not part of it. When apocalyptic demonization and scapegoating of specific groups of people as 'evil' is perpetrated by theocratic totalitarians the results pose a threat to all of civil society. Individual freedom cannot be valued if the notion of apocalyptic salvation for a few and damnation for everyone else is a fundamental tenet of governmental theology. The real desire behind the "Culture War" is the imposition of a Christian theocracy, based in Biblical law, on the United States.

Nearly all the causes the Judeo-Christian's speak for are noble-sounding covers for its real agenda - the overthrowing of Western, democratic and capitalist values. Both democratic and capitalist values are based on fair and open *competition*. The fair, open and free flow of ideas is the only way errors are discovered, uncovered and corrected. Christianity openly and manifestly hates competition in the area of religious ideas. This shows itself in their malevolence toward reason, rationality, tolerance, respect for science, intellectualism, personal liberty, religious pluralism and religious freedom. They reserve special hatred for an individual's right to worship (or not worship) according to their own conscience. Dominionists determine who is among god's chosen solely by a political determination of whether that person is in agreement with their social and political agenda.

The one thing that *cannot* be found the any of the right-wing plans for what they think America should be like is Freedom. People cannot be more free when freedom of choice (of what to believe or not believe) is taken away from them. The greater amounts of freedoms increasing numbers of peoples enjoy the safer and secure all freedoms are for all people. When one group continually disallows freedoms to individuals and repeatedly circumscribes freedom and

## M O N O T H E I S M

equality to minority groups, without any way for meaningful change, the less secure all freedoms are for all people. *"I would rather be exposed to the inconveniences attending too much liberty than to those attending too small a degree of it."*<sup>26</sup> Christians today comprise the only organized faction that openly vows to take freedoms away from other individuals and groups.

Monotheists are not content to have their conviction of choice; others must conform to it as well, since a plurality of worldviews raises doubts about the certainty of their choice of truth. Competition in the sphere of ideas is viewed, by the totalitarian mindset, as blasphemy. When Church and State merge civic laws become merged with theological doctrine and dogma. Instilling these tenets is called indoctrination. Were it not for the fanaticism that insists that we must all share their fundamentalist worldview or die, the problem of ideological coexistence wouldn't be an issue. The mentality behind the idea, "kill them all let god sort them out" is just as operable today as it was in the 13th Century.<sup>27</sup> Forced conversion to Christian theology has a long, sorted and bloody history. Only communism has rivaled monotheism in the numbers of dead it has left in its wake as it drives relentlessly for control of the world.

How serious is this? Teenage Christians today practice for the future killing fields in America as they play a video game that tells them: *"You are on a mission - both a religious mission and a military mission - to convert or kill Catholics, Jews, Muslims, Buddhists, gays, and anyone who advocates the separation of church and state - especially moderate, mainstream Christians."* The New York skyline smolders in the background as children rehearse mass killing for Christ. To win the "Left Behind: Eternal Forces" game kids must kill or convert all non-believers and after each one is killed the 'game' says, *"Praise the Lord."* Tim LaHaye said, *"Our real goal is to have no one left behind."* Yah, no one left alive that is! The Catholics too have a comic-book superhero, "Battle Pope." Forced conversion to Christian theology has a long, sorted and bloody history.

Another (violence in the name of faith) "game" is "Bibleman: A Fight for Faith." It's about a superhero that beats up and kills people justified using a weaponized gospel of Jesus Christ. With his accomplices Cypher and Biblegirl he battles enemies like "Wacky Protestor." The blatant hypocrisy rises to the height of lunacy as Bible Man does extreme violence and murder acting out his rage, anger and hate, *which are the very things his innocent victims are accused of spreading.* The intended message of these games, being implanted in the minds of children, is that when you do violence and wickedness to people who are different *just blame them!* Even when it comes to murder it's okay to kill them because they are bad because they are different therefore they are to blame for whatever you do to them. Blaming their victims too has had a long and tragic history in the twisted thinking of religious fanatics.

The deconstruction of our free society and replacing it with an UN-free society must be done slowly with stealth so as not to alarm the citizenry into opposition and open rebellion against those who plot against our freedoms and our nation. *"I believe there are more instances of the*

---

<sup>26</sup> James Madison.

<sup>27</sup> *"Kill them all. Let God sort them out."* Is a variation of *"Slay them all. God will know his own."* A supposed statement by Abbot Arnold Amaury before the massacre of Béziers during the Albigensian Crusade (1209-1255). This was a reference to 2 Tim. 2:19 which in part reads, *"The Lord knoweth them that are his"*.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*abridgement of freedoms of the people by gradual and silent encroachment of those in power than by violent and sudden usurpations."*<sup>28</sup> There is only one way to bring about the demise of the government of the United States of America and the death of the Constitution and Bill of Rights: usurpation from within. In *The Federalist Papers* (#9 and #10) is repeated the fear of "factions" that grow powerful enough to cause harm to the body politic and the people. The Republican Party is now the willing tool of just such an authoritarian right-wing radical religious faction.

Pat Robertson, said:

*With the apathy that exists today, a small, well-organized minority can influence the selection of candidates to an astonishing degree.*<sup>29</sup>

Ted Haggard, recently disgraced leader of the New Life Church bragged:

*If the evangelicals vote, they determine the election.*

Paul Weyrich has said:

*We are talking about Christianizing America. We are talking about the Gospel in a political context.*

William Rehnquist (Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court):

*The 'wall of separation between church and state' is a metaphor based on bad history, a metaphor which has proved useless as a guide to judging. It should be frankly and explicitly abandoned.*

David Barton (Wallbuilders):

*There should be absolutely no 'Separation of Church and State' in America.*

Jerry Falwell:

*The idea that religion and politics don't mix was invented by the Devil to keep Christians from running their own country.*

Rev. Frank Pavone, leader of the tax exempt Priests for Life said:

*Of course I'm trying to influence the election! This is what it means to be an American.*

D. James Kennedy has said:

*This is our land. This is our world. This is our heritage, and with god's help, we shall reclaim this nation for Jesus Christ. And no power on earth can stop us.*

R. J. Rushdooney, Reconstructionist Theologian at the Rutherford Institute stated:

---

<sup>28</sup> Thomas Jefferson.

<sup>29</sup> *The Millennium*, 1990.

## M O N O T H E I S M

*In winning a nation to the gospel, the sword as well as the pen must be used.*

Pat Robertson also declared:

*There will never be world peace until God's house and God's people are given their rightful place of leadership at the top of the world.<sup>30</sup>*

Randall Terry (Christian organizer):

*I want you to just let a wave of intolerance wash over you. I want you to let a wave of hatred wash over you. Yes, hate is good ... Our goal is a Christian nation. We have a biblical duty, we are called by God to conquer this country. We don't want equal time. We don't want pluralism.*

Gary Potter (president of Catholics for Christian Political Action) said:

*After the Christian majority takes control, pluralism will be seen as immoral and evil and the state will not permit anybody the right to practice evil.*

Ralph Reed of the Christian Coalition, who now tries to distance himself from his own remarks, declared:

*I want to be invisible. I do guerrilla warfare. I paint my face and travel at night. You don't know it's over until you're in a body bag. You don't know until election night.*

Bill Thomson of the Christian Coalition said:

*You're going to run over them. Get around them, run over the top of them, destroy them - whatever you need to do so that God's word is the word that is being practiced in Congress, town halls and state legislatures. That's your job.*

Pascal said, "Men never do evil so completely and cheerfully as when they do it from religious conviction."<sup>31</sup> Robertson, Reed, Thomson, Beliles, McDowell, Kennedy and others in the Evangelical, Dominionists and Reconstructionists movements are literally preaching for the (violent if necessary) war against, organized overthrow (from within) of, the United States government and the destruction of the Constitution and Bill of Rights. If you or I did that we would be labeled enemy combatant terrorists and hauled off in the middle of the night to a Neverland torture chamber and never heard from again. The accurate word for what they exhort is TREASON! Maybe 'None Dare Call it Treason,' but I do! Because that is exactly what it is. Their treason is more than just words because of their on-going continuous use of their congregations, schools and associations for political action. To the extent that it has been taken not only is it *organized treason* but seeing that it is being committed by numerous leaders in association within various christian organizations it can rightly be called *conspiracy to commit organized treason!*

---

<sup>30</sup> *The New World Order*. Word Publishing, 1991, pg. 227.

<sup>31</sup> Blaise Pascal, French philosopher, *Pensees* (1623-1662).

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

Hitler succeeded in destroying the separation of state and church. Though he did occasionally clash with the church it was over politics and not church doctrine or dogma which he supported. And, he was not alone in that support.

Adolph Hitler:

*I believe today that my conduct is in accordance with the will of the Almighty Creator.*

*Only in the steady and constant application of force lies the very first prerequisite for success. This persistence, however, can always and only arise from a definite spiritual conviction. Any violence which does not spring from a firm, spiritual base, will be wavering and uncertain.<sup>32</sup>*

Fundamentalists instill in their followers a "circle the wagons" mentality. They speak of "taking America back" but no one has taken it away. The Religious Freedom Restoration Act, declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court, presents the dishonesty that religious freedom has been destroyed and they must "restore" it. Another failed attempt to unconstitutionally entangle religion and government was the "Ten Commandments Defense Act" (HR 3895) of 2002. Not only would this amendment fail the "Lemon Test" but also it unconstitutionally dictates to the judicial branch how to rule on future legal cases on this issue. The "Houses of Worship Free Speech Restoration Act," would permit ministers and priests to endorse political candidates from their pulpits, thus converting tax-exempt churches into machinery of political activism and would eventually pit one denomination against another. One of their latest attempts is: Public Expression of Religion Act of 2005.<sup>33</sup>

Fundamentalists manufacture grievances, instill a persecution complex, shape the public opinion, and exploit the molded collective behavior of their congregations. Always presenting themselves as hapless victims is a rank anachronism not connected to any reality. Persecution of the dominant group in a society is an oxymoronic concept, because only the dominant group can persecute by definition. Bleeding heart conservatives feign counterfeit abuse then advocate for laws that diminish justice to those they themselves abuse. The truth is that religious persons and institutions have more special rights and are protected with more special laws than any other identifiable group in America and always have been. In most states they can even kill (sacrifice) their own children by withholding lifesaving medicine in the name of religious freedom.

Fundamentalists and rightwing politicians use the lie-accuse (if I may coin a word) as a weapon of distraction. The best defense is a good offense i.e.

---

<sup>32</sup> Adolph Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, pg. 46 and Vol. 1, Chapter 5.

<sup>33</sup> This bill passed in the House of Representatives by roll call vote on Sep 26, 2006. If passed into law by the Senate this legislation would strip victims of malicious religious discrimination from the recovery of attorneys' fees even when they win lawsuits asserting violation of their fundamental constitutional and civil rights in cases brought under the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment.

People who successfully prove the government has violated their constitutional rights would, under the bill, be required to pay their own legal fees of tens, if not hundreds of thousands of dollars. Few citizens can afford to do so. But more importantly, citizens should not be required to do so where the court finds that the government has violated their rights and engaged in unconstitutional behavior.

In other words, it puts religion above the law. It allows religion to be forced upon all and any persons whether they want it or not. Using the force of law comes just before using force at the point of a sword (gun).

## M O N O T H E I S M

accuse them before they expose you.<sup>34</sup> A 'put the monkey on their back' ploy projecting what they themselves are. "*If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it.*"<sup>35</sup> Nonstop repetition of false labels and ideas is a common method of shifting the responsibility for failed policies, promoting an "us versus them" mentality, blaming the victim and reinforcing group mentality. Whenever you hear what you believe to be a lie-accuse look at the one using it and very often you will find that they are what they are accusing others of being. A case in point is the news that Former House Speaker Newt Gingrich while leading the sanctimonious charge against President Clinton over the Monica Lewinsky affair was having his own extramarital affair at the same time. True, the impeachment of Clinton was because of lying about that affair but it also true that it was the *moral outrage* of Gingrich that demanded the investigation and subsequent impeachment.

Situational morality can never be the bases of justice in a civil society. If you were arrested in the New World HOLY Order how would you be judged? If they thought you were *not* in accordance with their political theology their "eye for an eye" and "I will execute vengeance in anger" passages would judge you. Those in accord with their political theology would be judged with the "turn the other cheek" and "forgive them their trespasses" passages. In the final analyses judgments are rendered in accordance with what is in the best interest of the institution regardless of the individual or the offence the individual is charged with. Though-out the Medieval Inquisition against heretics and witches the underlying emphasis was to protect the preeminent authority of the Church.

---

<sup>34</sup> Here are only a few of the recent examples of the "do as I say while I hide what I do" crowd:

1) Pastor Ted Haggard, 50, had paid for sex with Mike Jones on an almost monthly basis over three years. Jones said Haggard: admitted he loved snorting meth before having sex with his wife and had a fantasy of having an orgy with "about six young college guys ranging from 18 to 22 in age." Haggard was founder of the 14,000-member New Life Church in Colorado Springs, Colorado and former president of the National Evangelical Association. Haggard has condemned homosexuality and same-sex marriage.

2) Mark Foley, (Rep. FL.) sent sexually explicit e-mails and instant messages to young men who had formerly served as congressional pages and had sexual relations with two of them. Foley was chairman of the House Caucus on Missing and Exploited Children, concerned with protection of children from sexual predators and the need to catch pedophiles.

3) Rev. Craig Stephen White, 40, convicted of criminal solicitation to commit involuntary deviate sexual intercourse and related offences for offering a 14-year-old boy \$20 for taking part in sexual activity. White used a megaphone on Philadelphia campuses berating passersby as homosexuals, atheists, "fornicators," "whores" and "sodomites." [see, *The Scotsman*, January 15, 2004]

4) Rev. Hewart Lee Bennett, 46, was charged with using a computer service to seduce, solicit, lure, or entice a child to commit illegal sexual acts. A 15-year-old boy said Bennett "wanted to come over and have anal sex. And teach me different sexual positions." Bennett is a volunteer youth minister and elder at the West Palm Beach First Seventh-day Adventist Church.

5) The Catholic Church sex scandals. Not enough space to list them.

6) A collection of news reports of Protestant ministers (known total 838) sexually abusing children click [HERE](#).

<sup>35</sup> "*If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it. The lie can be maintained only for such time as the State can shield the people from the political, economic and/or military consequences of the lie. It thus becomes vitally important for the State to use all of its powers to repress dissent, for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie, and thus by extension, the truth is the greatest enemy of the State.*" Joseph Goebbels. "A lie told often enough becomes truth," Vladimir Lenin.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

Situational morality is an integral imperative of theocratic totalitarianism. Which is right, "Thou shalt not kill" OR "Kill a commie for Christ"? Which is it: "Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you." (John 14:27) OR "Think not that I am come to send peace on earth, I came not to send peace, but a sword"? (Matt. 10:34) Which is it: "The Lord is a man of war: the Lord is his name." (Ex. 15:3) OR "Now the God of peace be with you all"? (Ro. 15:33) Which is it: "Honor thy Father and thy Mother." OR, "For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against her mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law"? (Matt. 10:34) Finally, which will it be: "Render therefore unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's;" (Mat 22:21) and "Submit yourselves to every ordinance of man for the Lord's sake: whether it be to the king, as supreme." (1 Peter 2:13) OR as the Conservative Evangelicals quoted above said, "...we shall reclaim this nation for Jesus Christ." And, "whatever you need to do so that God's word is the word that is being practiced in Congress, town halls and state legislatures"? There are literally hundreds of similar contradictions and conflicts in and about the Bible and those that preach from it.

*They address a pictorial message to the heart that exactly reverses the verbal message addressed to the brain; and this nervous discord inhabits both Christianity and Islam as well as Judaism, since they too share in the legacy of the Old Testament.<sup>36</sup>*

One of the major signs of a totalitarian doctrine is its use of psychologically coercive techniques to manipulate members' views and actions. "Thought reform" demands total acceptance of any contrary irrationality absolutely and unquestioningly. Doublethink means the ability to hold two contradictory beliefs in one's mind simultaneously, and accepting both of them as equally true. To successfully suppress internal logic is the "Leap of Faith" that allows one to accept any illogical improbability regardless of the consequences. It was this aspect of religion that prompted Voltaire to say, "*Those who can make you believe absurdities can make you commit atrocities.*" (Like burning people alive to save them.) It was this too that made the Founders base the government in America in Constitutional Law and not Situational Morality.

Mind crippling self-contradiction is just one part of systematically instilled cognitive dissonance. Another is belief that the world, as we know it, is not the real world, but that there is another world, an alternative reality that is as real, or more real than this one. Call it the "world to come," or eternity, or Paradise, or whatever, it's the one you don't get to until after you die. Like any cult they demand purity under the guise of religiosity. If control is to be maintained not even the smallest deviation of opinion on the most unimportant subject can be tolerated. Their view is treated as sacred truth, the ultimate moral view and any criticism is treated as immoral, evil and unscientific. The person subjected to prolonged dissonance tends to reinforce the dissonance by avoidance of any criticism and going out of their way to deny facts.

If Christians cannot force a theocratic tyranny upon America they will do the next best thing: take freedom away from us. They succeeded in doing this by sponsoring and passing legislation to strip the federal courts of their authority to hear cases involving abortion, same-sex marriage and the Pledge

---

<sup>36</sup> Joseph Campbell, *The Masks of God, Occidental Mythology*. New York, NY. Viking Press, 1970, pg. 17.

## M O N O T H E I S M

of Allegiance. This strategy is known as "court stripping" and has become the Christian Coalition's cure-all for every perceived social problem. Clearly such a thing is an unconstitutional breach of the Separation of Powers and an attack against constitutional government. Christians hate the fact that judges, especially at the Federal level, judge cases according to the Constitution and not the bible. The United States constitution was enacted to "secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity." Where the constitution is silent, as in the case involving abortion, same-sex marriage and the Pledge of Allegiance, the courts very often decide on the side of freedom.

*The pledge is either constitutional or it's not, and the courts should properly play a role in telling us whether it's constitutional or not. I don't think the proper response to a serious constitutional question is to deprive the courts of answering the question because you're afraid of what the answer might be.*<sup>37</sup>

Another case of blatant hypocrisy can be seen in the lament of the christian Dominionists about "activist judges". The real truth is that "activist judges" are exactly what the religious right wants! They complain when the Supreme Court defends freedom and upholds the constitution. Then they cheer their hero, former Alabama chief justice Roy Moore, who once penned an opinion calling for the state to execute "practicing homosexuals". In an effort to pact the Supreme Court with anti-constitution freedom killers the Dominionists circulate an anti-filibuster petition that blasts Democrats for their "outrageous stonewalling of appointments" even though Congress has approved more nominees of Bush than of any president since Jimmy Carter.

The institutionalized hierarchy of Religion views political power as a competing hegemony. That is why they falsely accuse Marxism (and capitalism) of being a religion. If the U.S. actually had a separation of state and church it would have a "secular" government thus their lie-accuse against Secular Humanism. The supposition being that there is a competing religion in control of government. Their dishonesty is based on the absurdly contrary lie-accuse that non-religion is a religion. But the truth is that there are no Secular Humanist (or atheist) in, let alone controlling, the government of the United States. There are only Christians (and a few Jews) in total control of the national government (and all state governments too). There is no amount of sophistry that can make non-religion a religion yet religionists repeat the lie over and over and over.

The real competing hegemony is government-established *freedom* verses religions own institutionalized anti-freedom. One does not have to be forced to be free but institutionalized anti-freedom *needs* the power of government to enforce anti-freedom. The founders declared freedom (liberty) as an inalienable right. It is embodied in the idea that the individual possesses absolute sovereignty and that political power does not come from absentee deities but from the people themselves. Individualism is the opposite of totalitarianism. The founders understood that 'divine rights' of sovereigns, or any governmental body, was a sure avenue to theocratic despotism and a death sentence to liberty. Evangelical Dominionism is conquest and replacement of sovereignty by a Bible-based religious hegemony, which denies political, individual, civil and religious liberty.

---

<sup>37</sup> David Cole is a professor at Georgetown University Law Center.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*If you are willing to believe that men should be deprived of all rights for a good cause - you are a Totalitarian.*

*And if you - in the privacy of your own mind - believe so strongly in some particular good of yours that you would be willing to deprive men of all rights for the sake of this good, then you are as guilty of all the horrors of today as Hitler and Stalin. These horrors are made possible only by men who have lost all respect for single, individual human beings, who accept the idea that classes, races, and nations matter, but single persons do not, that a majority is sacred, but a minority is dirt, that herds count, but Man is nothing.<sup>38</sup>*

The Constitution (Article. VI.) says, ". . .no religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office or public Trust under the United States." However, in the same way that Christianity is the defacto - established religion in America there is a public religious "litmus test" for all elected officials, especially the president. All the current Democratic candidates for president are falling all over themselves to substantiate their religious credentials. The most vociferous political debate is who is or is not following the religious right's agenda, the so-called 'value vote,' i.e. on abortion, school prayer and gay-rights issues. We have become a quasi-theocracy: one nation under God while anything less than absolute orthodoxy is considered un-American.

The public square is no longer "neutral" or "secular" but seethes with callous militant religiosity and piety. For fear of offending their religious base no elected officials dare defend the right of dissent. The fact is that we already have a united church and state right now enforcing their religion through the arm of the federal government. This has come about through the growth of an entrenched right-wing ultra-conservative *plutocracy* with enormous wealth and power and the virtual domination of the media by mega-corporations controlled by that same religious based *plutocracy*. A totalitarian movement is correctly defined by its style, structure and methods as well as by its stated or apparent ideology.

Totalitarianism will adopt the mantle or mask of any of the governmental despotisms. It is not surprising then to find that the Republican Party is not only the bastion of Right Wing religious extremist but also the corporate giants of the military/industrial/banking/media/oil complex and related industries. The combination of these three have prompted many to call what has been going on: the new American Fascism. Of the 14 points of Fascism the Republican led government exhibits all 14!<sup>39</sup>

The Fascist Benito Mussolini said, "*Everything for the state; nothing outside of the state; nothing against the state!*" The USSR too monopolized the military/industrial/ banking/oil and all other industries and was one of the least free countries in the modern era. And, no, it does not matter if it is the government establishing big business or big business establishing the government, either way, the incestural relationship is harmful to the body politic, the environment and the people.

---

<sup>38</sup> Ayn Rand, *To All Fifth Columnists*, an open letter written around the beginning of 1941.

<sup>39</sup> Both of these web pages are worth a look:  
<http://www.oldamericancentury.org>  
<http://www.mvp-seattle.com>

## M O N O T H E I S M

One of the most telltale signs of militaristic totalitarianism is its war against those that dissent against its policies. Both the legislation used during the "red scare" of the 1950s against "known Communists" and the Sedition Act, passed under John Adams (1797) have been cited as legitimate to use against those that speak out against policies of the Bush regime. To "petition the government for a redress of grievances" is the essence of a government 'by the people and for the people'. The purpose of jailing dissenters and journalists is to weaken freedom of the press and create a climate of fear. This 'reign of terror' is meant to silence dissenters. Any dissent that is left unchecked encourages others to join in until the mass of people becomes unstoppable. That is the biggest fear of government officials who know they are doing great and obvious wrongs.

One of the most blatantly hypocritical of the rightwing government practices is with secrecy and national security. Secrecy is anti-democratic. Of all the rights we enjoy the "right to know" is the most important. Democracy is predicated upon a well-informed citizenry, which allows us to engage in factual debate and reach enlightened decisions. That is why Freedom of the Press was given specific mention along with Freedom of Speech, the right to peaceably assemble and petition the Government for a redress of grievances. The government today, with a compliant rightwing corporate press, withholds knowledge from us then uses our own lack of knowledge as a weapon to manipulate us into making decisions that are against our own best interest. The Bush regime has reclassified millions of innocent documents and has reduced access to prevent public investigation. Accurately informed individuals will resist enslavement.

Even before the September 11 the Christian born-again George Bush regime took steps to insure the government's strangle-hold on information and limit public's ability to investigate government activities. These steps include, but are not limited to:

- expansive assertions of executive privilege,
- restrictive views of the Freedom of Information Act,
- the increasing use of national security classification,
- stonewalling response to congressional requests for information,
- distortion of information needed to effectively deal with threats to health and safety,
- censorship of scientific data generated by regulatory agencies and government ordered reports,
- suppression of facts that don't fit the administration's political, economic and ideological agenda,
- appointment of industry lobbyists and executives to key government regulatory positions,
- attempted overturning of the Presidential Records Act of 1978 by executive order,
- reclassification of information previously declassified.
- using RNC email services (against federal law) to hide intergovernmental communication.

It is one thing to restrict information from the public at large and, indeed, there are instances that National Security must be preserved, but it is quite another thing when information of non National Security issues are withheld from our elected representatives. A case in point is the Cheney Energy Task Force required (by the Federal Advisory Committee Act) to have balanced membership and open meetings. *"Without robust, reliable access to government information, members of the public cannot function intelligently*

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*as citizens, cannot meaningfully participate in the policy process and cannot adequately evaluate the performance of their elected representatives or hold the government institutions accountable.*"<sup>40</sup> Those that advocate greater secrecy in essence are advocating death to democracy.

National security is meant to protect from dangers coming from outside of America but used increasingly to protect the government from the citizens of America. National security is used as the excuse for increased governmental secrecy. Governmental power can be as secret and privately held as it wants to but citizens have no privacy. American citizens are subject to secret NSA wiretaps and eavesdropping, the "total information awareness" project, updated Cointelpro counterintelligence activities within the U.S. by the FBI, CIA, Army, Navy, Air Force and Marines monitoring of phone, internet, and library records. This is not what the Founders of America established.

To further the destruction of American economic sovereignty the Christian government in Washington passed NAFTA, GATT, and the WTO that sent millions of good paying industrial jobs overseas. The government paid (gave tax breaks) to companies to take their jobs out of America! Many Democrats champion an illegal invasion of low paid workers to bring down the wages of whatever jobs are left. The latest assault against America is the NAFTA-superhighway meant to bypass unloading ships at US Ports then truck or rail freight from Mexico into the central United States. Global corporations (with offshore headquarters and banking to avoid paying US taxes) rake in record profits while social and environmental costs are borne by the poor and middle class taxpayers.

John Kerry was right during his presidential campaign when he said, "The war in Iraq is a distraction..." However, it is not a distraction from the war against terrorists. It IS a deliberate distraction from the real war being waged against America. We have all heard about the "war on the middle class". But, there is no one out there with guns killing middle class people. The real WAR being waged against America is an ECONOMIC war! NAFTA is nothing other than an economic weapon. NAFTA's purpose is the utter destruction of American manufacturing, which is the main support of middle class America. The ultimate goal is to have only a few billionaires (owners of transnational corporations who are also intimately involved in the affairs of government), the masses of poor workers (the proletariat), police and soldiers.

NAFTA establishes new rights applicable only to foreign investors claiming compensation from US taxpayers for the costs of complying with the same domestic policies that all domestic companies must follow. Unaccountable Private Tribunals rather than US courts and laws arbitrate disputes, supersede US economic sovereignty and bankrupts democracy. Add to that the hundreds of billions of dollars of trade deficits, pork barrel politics and the trillions in national debt while the government pundits placate us with reports about how good things are.

The anti-trust anti-monopoly laws are weakened, unenforced or enforced rarely and selectively. Concentration of wealth in the hands of a few and the ever widening gap between rich and poor, along with Bush's tax cuts, have shifted the overall tax burden from the wealthiest Americans to the middle class and poor who can least afford it. While the inflation rate rises, wages

---

<sup>40</sup> Steven Aftergood: *The Bush Administration's Suffocating Secrecy*. In *Forward*, March 28, 2003. Steven Aftergood is director of the Project on Government Secrecy at the *Federation of American Scientists*.

## M O N O T H E I S M

stagnate. Deregulation, in the name of competition, destroys competition in favor of monopolization by multinational corporate conglomerates. Small business bankruptcies are at an all time high. Prices for housing, tuition, health care, gas and food have skyrocketed. Both parents must work longer hours just to make ends meet.

All through the Middle Ages the Catholic Church enforced the Canon Law against Usury. To our modern ear this law sounds as though it was merely against charging too much interest on a loan. But that was *not* its purpose. The Canon Law against Usury was used against those who made a *profit*. If you sold an item for more than what you paid for it you would be charged for breaking the Canon Law against Usury. The Church was the great enemy of Capitalism and all 'competition' was sin. The story of the coin changers (knowledge of money) being run out of the temple (world) was a parable/injunction to keep the power of money (capitalism) out of the world, which they did for 1500 years. Only after the industrial revolution when the power of industrial capitalism could not be stopped did the Church, true to their totalitarianism nature, *switch sides* and become a supporter of capitalism.

In Section three, Part three, of *The Communist Manifesto* by Marx, another enemy of Capitalism who adopted the Canon Law against Usury, is the idea of 'relative surplus value'. 'Relative surplus value' is just another way to say 'profit'. Profit, as we all know, is the one thing that a capitalistic business cannot exist without. The main idea of the communist collective is that there is no private property. The #1 plank of *The Communist Manifesto* is: "Abolition of private property and the application of all rents of land to public purposes." All property was to be held "in common."

*Acts 4:32-35* 32) And the multitude of them that believed were of one heart and of one soul: neither said any of them that ought of the things which he possessed was his own; but they had all things common.. 34) Neither was there any among them that lacked: for as many as were possessors of lands or houses sold them, and brought the prices of the things that were sold, 35) And laid them down at the apostles' feet: and distribution was made unto every man according as he had need. *Acts 2:44*, And all that believed were together, and had all things common.

*Acts 4:34-35* 1) But a certain man named Ananias, with Sapphira his wife, sold a possession, 2) And kept back part of the price, his wife also being privy to it, and brought a certain part, and laid it at the apostles' feet. 3) But Peter said, Ananias, why hath Satan filled thine heart to lie to the Holy Ghost, and to keep back part of the price of the land? 4) Whiles it remained, was it not thine own? and after it was sold, was it not in thine own power? why hast thou conceived this thing in thine heart? thou hast not lied unto men, but unto God.

What part was it Ananias and Sapphira held back? Could it have been the 'profit'? Regardless whether it was or not the fact remains that they were part of the Christian collectivism that, like the Essene, took all the land or proceeds from the sale of the lands and there was to be no private property. "Capitalism is the way of the devil and exploitation. If you really want to look at things through the eyes of Jesus Christ - who I think was the first socialist - only socialism can really create a genuine society."<sup>41</sup>

---

<sup>41</sup> Hugo Chavez of Venezuela is a Roman Catholic that often inserts a "revolutionary Jesus" into his political rhetoric. Article in *Time*.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

Liberation theology is a case in point where Catholicism merges with socialism/Marxism. The main nexus is with the "class struggle" of the poor and Jesus' mission described as a bringer of liberation and justice. (Matthew 26:51-52) Before the word "socialism" was even coined the Church in Medieval Europe were the rich! The *First Estate* in France was the clergy and the *Second Estate* the nobility. The *sans-culottes* of their time are directly comparable to South Americas' indigenous peoples, mestizos, and the poor rural and urban masses of our time. The only difference is that today the South American church has switched sides.

That Catholicism and Marxism would merge is not surprising in the least. They are both based on oligarchic collectivism, unconditional authority from a hierarchical command structure and control over all aspects of human activity in society from cradle to grave. Both Catholicism and Marxism/socialism gain from their involvement in North America with the monopolistic capitalistic (the Catholic and Protestant churches have LARGE stock portfolios) system that are exploiting South Americas populations. While at the same time they are in South America (using 'democracy') to 'congregate' the people against capitalism. In other words they are using both ends of the economic system against each other while covertly profiting from both.

The Vatican has come out against liberation theology and the danger to the church is obvious. They have only 'switched sides' in South America. Everywhere else in the world they hobnob with rich government insiders and are part of the modern power elite (*nouveau nobility*). If socialism/Marxism were to succeed in South America the only land NOT allowed to be "reformed" (nationalized - expropriated - confiscated) would be church property! Sooner or later the people in South America will realize that their Church/Socialist/Theocratic/Communist governments are not with them as much as over them.

Collectivism is the system of economics where those at the top of the hierarchical ladder control (own) everything. No private property. No ownership of business large or small. The central controlling authority owns all the wealth. The central authority distributes all goods, jobs, housing, services, energy and foods produced. "*From each according to his ability; to each according to his need.*" The oldest form of oligarchical collectivism is the Catholic Church. Does any priest 'own' the church or any of the church property in which he preaches? No. The central authority of the church owns all church property and decides which priest will work there. It should be remembered that the most communistic of Utopian Communities in the United States have been religious. Some of those *that "have as their bond of union some form of religious belief"*<sup>42</sup> include the Perfectionists, Rappisters, Eben-Ezers, and Shakers.

Are we an Economic Oligarchy? Remember that an Oligarchy is not the same as a dictatorship. It is rule, not by the one, but by the few. This can be seen clearer on the international stage. There are the 'Seven Sisters of oil.' Seven transnational corporations control the majority of the world's petroleum. There are 6 major grain companies in the world. Throw in about a dozen smaller players and they control over 70% of the worlds grain production and transportation. There are only a hand full of families that own the Central Banks in the hard currency countries of the world. Five

---

<sup>42</sup> Charles Nordhoff, *The Communistic Societies of the United States*. 1966 General Publishing Company, Ltd. Toronto, Ontario, Canada. pg. 387.

## M O N O T H E I S M

companies control 75 percent of the U.S. book market. There are 8 mega corporations (26 diversified) that own the majority of **news** outlets in the USA.

*Until the 1980s, media systems were generally national in scope. Beginning in the 1980s, pressure from the IMF, World Bank and U.S. government to deregulate and privatize media and communication systems coincided with new satellite and digital technologies, resulting in the rise of transnational media giants.*

*In short order, the global media market has come to be dominated by the same eight transnational corporations, or TNCs, that rule US media: General Electric, AT&T/Liberty Media, Disney, Time Warner, Sony, News Corporation, Viacom and Seagram, plus Bertelsmann, the Germany-based conglomerate.<sup>43</sup>*

Our tax system is a form of collectivism. Moneys collected go to the central authority and are then redistributed as the government has need. Some moneys stay in the local area in the form of either state or local taxes. Moneys collected in churches goes to the central authority and some is kept in the local area. The central church authority distributes its money as it has need. So what is the difference? The only real difference is that in the complete oligarchical collectivism all products of labor and moneys from all sources are collected and distributed by the central authority.

The fact is that there is NO single law that states unequivocally that you must pay a tax on your wages. There is no constitutional foundation for a tax on individual wages. Income tax is a fraud! I suggest you buy and view *America: Freedom to Fascism* by Aaron Russo. The required number of states never ratified the 16th Amendment in order for it to become law. The Supreme Court has stated in numerous cases that the 16th amendment gave the government NO new taxing authority. The guns of the government enforce the non-existing tax "laws" without a legitimate foundation. How can anyone trust a government that lies to the American people, imprisons them behind a nonexistent tax law that steals a portion of their hard earned wages and refuses to this day to show the law under which they operate?

Socialism is a condition of absolute forced dependence upon the state. This is the political concept that the citizen should be totally subject to an absolute state *authority*. Once the New World Order is complete it will be ease to switch dependence on the state back to dependence on the Church. Just as the Roman Empire became the Holy Roman Empire the New World Order would (will) easily become the New World HOLY Order. It all happens from the top down by a rogue Christian government or elected dictator, regardless of the Constitution and Bill of Rights or accountability to the people. It will happen from the 'world government' body that is not accountable to anyone. Could anyone have stopped Stalin if he decided to make the USSR an absolute Eastern Orthodox Theocracy?

Though there have been wars where we have fought communists there has never been a war against communism. The importance is in the subtle difference. Even if it were possible to kill every *communist* in the world *communism* would still exist! Communism is just an idea and you cannot kill an idea with a bullet. The only way to wage war against or kill the idea of communism is with education. There has never been a concerted effort to educate people

---

<sup>43</sup> Robert W. McChesney, Associate Professor at the University of Illinois. Article *The Global Media Giants* in *FAIR* and in an article *The New Global Media* from *Hartford*.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

concerning the historical examples, political complexity, economic structure and social ramifications of socialism/communism. There has only been vitriolic rhetoric, what filters in thorough the news media and a few books that one must go out of their way to find. The government is afraid that if people understood something about it: 1) some misguided persons might actually like it, 2) people would understand where it came from and 3) people would start to see examples of it all around themselves here in America!

There is no such thing as a church capitalism. There is no such thing as a church that pays competitive wages to member/employees to manufacture products from raw materials then sells those products on the open market (Scientology notwithstanding). Even if one could be found that does not mean that because one is therefore all others are too. There is no way around it; the economic infrastructure of all churches is *collectivism*.

There is no such thing as a church that practices democracy when it comes to their dogma. The church hierarchy more resembles an oligarchy than it does anything else. They may vote on the officers and by-laws of their organization but I have never heard of one that votes on what the bible says. When a difference of opinion grows between two groups concerning an interpretation of scripture that becomes unbearable there is a schism. That is how all those Protestant denominations came about. They pray that things will "be done on earth as it is in heaven". Heaven is not a democracy! Heaven is a ruthless authoritarian dictatorship where one can be eternally punished for incompatible unorthodox thought crime.

Once again we should look at the message history teaches us. The first thing the new Christian church did when it came into existence was move to the center of World Government of that age: Rome. It took 300 years before Rome had a Christian ruler, Constantine. The Roman Empire became the Holy Roman Empire. Sadly, with Bush, and the presidents before him, creating a crusading dictatorship of the executive branch, America will fall in less than that. After Constantine and Theodosius the world was plunged into 300 years of a reign of terror known as the "Dark Ages" where all human advancement stopped. With the coming to power of religion today we hear about the "dumbing down of America." This, I suggest, is not accidental but an obvious symptom of the coming "New World HOLY Order"!

One of the big movements today is to create a One World Religion. The plan is to merge the Christian churches with New Age, Spiritualism, multiculturalism, Dispensationalism, Liturgical Renewal, Messianic Judaism, the Charismatic Movement, Buddhism, Confucians, Hindus, Jains, Sikhs, Taoists, and Zoroastrians, and Islam all into one. The plan, sometimes called Interfaithism, is to first get everyone into a church then merge the churches, then *eliminate* any one that doesn't go along with the majority.

*The political world of the Open Conspiracy must weaken, efface, incorporate and supersede existing governments. The Open Conspiracy is the natural inheritor of socialist and communist enthusiasms; it may be in control of Moscow before it is in control of New York. The character of the Open Conspiracy will now be plainly displayed. It will be a world religion.*<sup>44</sup>

---

<sup>44</sup> H.G.Wells, Fabian Socialist, in *The Open Conspiracy: Blue Prints for a World Revolution* (1928).

## M O N O T H E I S M

So what is this One World Religion all about? Put very simply = War! The very idea that "everyone is god" is absolutely, totally and irrevocably rejected by Christianity. There are plenty of christian web sites out there that not only agree with that but go on to say that it is evil, satanic, 666, etc., etc. They project the idea that the forces of 'darkness' are out to destroy their religion. Blah, blah, blah. They just don't get what's going on. They are just to shortsighted to see 'the big picture' and the nature of the warfare being waged *on their behalf*.

In order to understand one need to go back to the beginning and see how Christianity began. At this point I would suggest the reader go to my other web page concerning origins and read through it. Christianity did not defeat paganism in an open war-like battle on a battlefield. Paganism was defeated because Catholicism out-Paganized the Pagans. Christianity was identified by Romans as merely another mystery cult. Why, because, Christianity WAS just another mystery cult at that point in its evolution. There is a fuller discussion of this on my origins page and an even fuller expose in my book.

On the one hand religionist find it very difficult if not impossible to convert an atheist into believing. However, they do find it much easier to convert individuals who call themselves "spiritual". This is more true with individuals that have been brought up believing but have found mainstream religion unfulfilling. "Spiritual" is like a halfway house between non-addiction and belief. "Keep your friends close, and your enemies closer."<sup>45</sup> The purpose of Interfaithism is to keep people in the realm of "spiritual" thus using their own lack of understanding as a weapon against them. The purpose of Interfaithism is to disarm otherwise antagonistic beliefs and have them let their guard down long enough for a virus to be inserted into their ideological frameworks.

The Church is (mis)using the tactics of Hegelian dialect against the other religions. According to Church dogma the church has *never* erred. The Church has also *never* compromised any of its dogma or tenets and it *never* will! The current Pope Ratzinger was the head of "The Holy Office" which used to be called "The Office of the Inquisition" which makes him, as far as I can find in history, the very first Inquisitional Pope. Inquisition is another word for WAR. So, today we have a UN supporting WAR Pope and a NWO supporting WAR President. Remember the quote above by Bishop O'Conner, "*Religious liberty is merely endured [tolerated] until the opposite can be carried into effect..*" The Church is merely pretending to be synthesized into a One-World homogenized, harmonious, happy, wholesome whole "*..until the opposite can be carried into effect without peril to the Catholic Church.*"

Catholicism is doing today just what it did at its beginning; bring all the religions together under one label, absorb from them the powerful aspects of them that it does not already possess (the biggest one today being successful mystical practices) and then dominate them through infiltration and finally *eliminate them one at a time*. Just as the purpose of the New World Order is to destroy national sovereignty, the New World HOLY Order will destroy religious sovereignty by absorbing each of them into its totalitarian matrix. Does anyone hear the echo of "You will be assimilated. Resistance is Futile."

*By the end of this decade (2000 AD) we will live under the first One World Government that has ever existed in the society of nations... a*

---

<sup>45</sup> Sun-tzu, Chinese general & military strategist (~400 BC) author of *The Art of War*.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*government with absolute authority to decide the basic issues of human survival. One world government is inevitable. Pope John Paul II* <sup>46</sup>

Today we have theocratic totalitarianism masquerading as benign religion acting on an international level, seeking to eventually do away with all national boundaries and sovereignty to unite the world into a single global structure. "In the full concept of a Christian society there is, therefore, no real division of Church and State."<sup>47</sup> The Catholic Church is the most prominent example an oligarchical collectivism. In fact the Catholic Church is the oldest continuous form of oligarchical collectivistic totalitarianism the world has ever known. Pope Pius IX declared in his *Syllabus of Errors*, "that it is an error to believe that: the church is not a true and perfect society. [And,] it is an error to believe that: the church ought to be separated from the state and the State from the church." But, it is Evangelical Protestants in America that are today pushing a totalitarian agenda to its ultimate terrible conclusion.

Karl Jaspers, who has studied totalitarianism for many years, says:

*All over the world I dread the self-deception which we have experienced - that this could not happen here. It can happen anywhere. It is improbable only where the broad masses of the population are aware of the possible menace and thus will not be lulled into security; where they know the type of totalitarianism and will recognize it in its rudimentary stages and in each of its manifestations - this Proteus who keeps appearing in ever new masks, who slips eel-like out of our grasp, who does the opposite of what he says, who distorts the meaning of words, who speaks not in order to communicate or tell the truth, but in order to numb, to distract, to hypnotize, to intimidate, to dupe - who will exploit and evoke every fear, and will promise security and utterly wreck it at the same time.*

*Totalitarianism is neither Communism nor fascism nor National Socialism, but it has appeared in all of these forms. It is the universal, terrible threat of the future of mankind in a mass order. It is a phenomenon of our age, detached from all the politics governed by principles of a historic national existence of constitutional legality. Wherever it comes to power, domestic politics gives way to intrigues and acts of force, and foreign policy, the conduct of relations with other states, is shrouded in a semblance of talk and negotiation, but without being tied to any rules of the game, to any community of human interests.*<sup>48</sup>

One would have to be willfully blind not to see the many parallels between the government he speaks of and the church/government in America today. I am as much against leftwing liberal socialism as I am against rightwing conservative totalitarianism. They are both taking America down the same road to ultimate destruction. The Republican Party is waging war against America from the top down and the Democratic Party is waging war against America from the bottom up. The Republican conservatives would, if they could, slam our free country into a totalitarian right-wing theocratic authoritarian

---

<sup>46</sup> Pope John Paul II quoted by Malachi Martin in "The Keys of This Blood".

<sup>47</sup> Alan W. Watts, *Myth and Ritual in Christianity*. New York, N.Y.: Grove Press, Inc., 1960 pg. 191.

<sup>48</sup> Karl Jaspers, on his web page: *The Fight Against Totalitarianism*. Mr. Jaspers web page at: [www.whitecloud.com/fight\\_vs\\_totalitarianism](http://www.whitecloud.com/fight_vs_totalitarianism) is a 'must read' for freedom loving people.

## M O N O T H E I S M

dictatorship in a heartbeat. The Democratic liberals, on the other hand, would rather exploit created grievances in order to trick us into voting a socialist tyranny upon ourselves. In the end the right and left will unite in their bi-partisan victory over self-government, self-determination, the constitution and freedom.

Those politicians who today are waving red flags and clamoring for "national security" are also the very people that are destroying our security. If indeed our national security is based on our military strength we need to fully realize that our military strength is not a standalone issue. The military strength of a nation directly related to and founded upon the industrial strength of that nation. Globalization and the destruction of our industrial base via NAFTA shipping jobs overseas, out-sourcing of whatever jobs can be out-sourced, massive legal and illegal immigration to destroy the wage base of whatever jobs are left, destroys our "national security" more thoroughly than any foreign enemy could ever do. Who then is or are the real enemies of our national sovereignty, prosperity and security?

Criticism of the Republican Party and right-wing religious extremists certainly does not let the Democrats off the hook as being equally involved with the destruction of America and our Constitution. Though there are many patriotic Democrats there are also, as in the Labour Party in England, many christian socialists/Marxist working against the better interest of the nation. Mostly they are Fabian socialists hiding behind the fraudulent labels "liberal" and "progressive." They are the mirror image of thinly veiled religious elitism and hubris, missionary theology masquerading as "peace, freedom and liberty." The Left points out the inequalities and brutality of capitalism then advocates a false liberation ideology i.e. universal education, universal health care, universal amnesty, universal human rights, the United Nations, in other words, the major known goals of socialism and the New World HOLY Order.

The idea that a person in America is either on the 'Left' or on the 'Right' is a false paradigm. In reality the extreme 'left' is absolute anarchy and on the extreme 'Right' is absolute totalitarianism. On a scale of 0 (=anarchy) to 10 (=totalitarianism) the Democrats occupy 6 and 7 and the Republicans occupy 8 and 9. Most people I know are 3s, 4s and 5s. This is why the political debate in America seems so skewed to just one side of the political spectrum and why most people feel left out of the political debate altogether. This is also why many people say there is just one 'Demopublican' party. Put plainly, the "liberals" (progressives, socialists) are not on the left of the true political spectrum they are on the right. They are just not as far right as their totalitarian counterparts.

Definition of insanity: electing either of the same two political parties over and over again expecting a better America. Voting for the lesser of two evils is still voting for evil. According to Milan Kundera, "*Totalitarianism is neither left nor right, and within its empire both will perish.*"<sup>49</sup> Had the founders understood totalitarianism (secular or theocratic) as we do today would not have wanted it as the foundation of this country. As has been said totalitarianism at its root is other than and beyond politics. The end result, the New World HOLY Order, is the motivating factor for all sides of all issues of all arguments, for all policies, on all subjects, for all

---

<sup>49</sup> Milan Kundera is a novelist and professor at the Film Faculty at Prague's Academy of Performing Arts. Twice admitted and twice expelled from the communist party Kundera became a leader of the resistance, lost his teaching post, and saw his books banned.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

objectives, for all reasons etc, etc that way no matter which side of a political debate wins religion can claim the win as 'theirs.'

Bipartisan politics is the death-knell of a Republican form of government. The Founders, had they envisioned Parties as we have then today, would have wanted those that engage in heated, fierce even acrimonious debate. They realized this would have to be in conjunction with a Free Press that would inform and enlighten the people about ALL sides of the issues. The Presidential debates, especially since the League of Women Voters were removed from putting on the debates, have been pathetically bi-party staged namby-pamby non-debates. The most important issues to the American people are never spoken of let alone discussed or debated. One of the definitive aspects of totalitarianism is the existence of a single political unity with so close an identity between each Party and their policies and the governmental policies of the country that the Parties and the government constitute an indistinguishable unit. Along with this is the forcible suppression (via onerous and stifling laws) against access by third Parties.

Today we are preached the mantra that citizens need to sacrifice liberty because of terrorism. Those who preach that false doctrine are the major cause of terrorism not the solution of it. Trading liberty for security does just the opposite of what the purveyors promise because of the very purpose and intent of the Constitution. The Constitution and the Bill of Rights are supposed to protect the people from tyrannical authoritarian government. The security that is gained through the destruction of the Constitution and the Bill of Rights is not security for us the people it is security for the government *from the will of the people and ability of the people* to determine their own destiny through political means. Removal of them means we are no longer a Government "by the people, for the people." Remember what Ben Franklin said: "*They who would give up an essential liberty for temporary security, deserve neither liberty or security.*"<sup>50</sup>

Newspaper and TV news bombard us daily with reports of terrorism. All of them refer to Islamic terrorism. Almost never do we hear about the act of domestic terror from extremist right wing groups most of which are based in Christian thinking. Eric Robert Rudolph, a right-wing extremist, carried out four major bombings that killed two people and injured more than 100. Right-wing movements helped motivate Timothy McVeigh and Terry Nichols in the Oklahoma City bombing. These are two of the few that ever make it to the news but they are just the tip of the iceberg. For a list of right wing domestic terrorism you can go [HERE](#) or [HERE](#).

*A draft internal document from the U.S. Department of Homeland Security that was obtained this spring by The Congressional Quarterly lists the only serious domestic terrorist threats as radical animal rights and environmental groups like the Animal Liberation Front and the Earth Liberation Front. But for all the property damage they have wreaked, eco-radicals have killed no one - something that most definitely cannot be said of the white supremacists and others who people the American radical right.*

*In the 10 years since the April 19, 1995, bombing in Oklahoma City, in fact, the radical right has produced some 60 terrorist plots. These have included plans to bomb or burn government buildings, banks, refineries, utilities, clinics, synagogues, mosques, memorials and bridges; to assassinate police officers, judges, politicians, civil rights figures*

---

<sup>50</sup> Benjamin Franklin, *Historical Review of Pennsylvania*. (1759).

## M O N O T H E I S M

*and others; to rob banks, armored cars and other criminals; and to amass illegal machine guns, missiles, explosives, and biological and chemical weapons.*<sup>51</sup>

The supposed "war on terrorism" is in reality a war to provoke and cause more terrorism. The government in America has spent over three trillion dollars since 1948 to kill Moslem peoples. When one adds up all the Moslems in all the countries killed or crippled in the last 50 years by American bullets, bombs, chemical, biological and DU weaponry plus add up all the business, homes and indeed whole cities destroyed, bulldozed and, blown up the fact is that America has committed, if spread out, a 911 size event almost every month for 50 years! I don't want to work the readers imagination to much here but don't you think that after fifty years of death and destruction committed in the Middle East by America and American allies that the Moslem community just might be a little pissed off by now?

Americans are placed in greater danger because of our government's arrogant policy of bombing helpless nations that have no capabilities of harming America or defending themselves just because they do not submit to the demands of international corporations. These policies generate immense hatred toward America. These policies expose us to a greater threat of terrorism, since this is the only way our victims have to defend themselves and retaliate against the crimes committed against them. America wages war against civilian non-military populations whose only possible response can be guerrilla-warfare. Defense of their country by civilians is "labeled" terrorism. Meanwhile the US Government uses the 'threat of terrorism' itself as a weapon to undermine and ultimately destroy our democracy, Constitution and Bill of Rights and ultimately all of America. The danger from within is far greater than any from outside.

Both the International Military Tribunal at Nurnberg and the International Military Tribunal for the Far East, at Tokyo, (following precedents of the Hague and Geneva Conventions before them) stated in their judgments that to unleash a war of aggression "*is not only an international crime; it is the supreme international crime.*" Going to war against Iraq was a crime. Occupying Iraq is the continuation of a criminal act. When leaving the scene of a crime it is not called a "cut and run" it is called a "getaway". What America should be doing is getting away from using distortion, deceit, and dishonesty as the basis of its international policy decisions. Especially when those decisions concern the lives and property of millions of innocent citizens of a sovereign foreign nation.

History shows that Christianity as a totalitarian thought system is not satisfied to peacefully co-exist with other religions. Religions that embrace the philosophy: "Our religion is the only way," seek to aggressively evangelize people of other religions. Iraq, under US military occupation, appears as 'easy pickings' for aggressive proselytizing. Missionary agencies provide schools, hospitals, and disaster relief as cover for schemes of spiritual bribery and religious intimidation. People in need are enticed with jobs, food, clothing and medicine for their children and are then expected to attend proselytizing services. That the administration supports these efforts is quite obvious given the fact that the same tricks are used here in America in faith-based initiative programs.

---

<sup>51</sup> This quote is from The Southern Poverty Law Center and is followed by a list of key right-wing plots of the last 10 years.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

The most pernicious of lies is that democracy can be established through military force. There are two things that are absolutely necessary to democracy: *Independence and Freedom*. Without freedom and independence (such as in an "occupied" country) democracy is a sham, a farce and a tragedy. Saying that we are occupying Iraq in order to establish democracy is like sex in order to achieve virginity. Until freedom and independence are established no government can rightfully claim legitimacy and no government that comes to power while a country is in an occupied state will be seen by the people of that country as anything other than a puppet of the occupying force. The so-called legitimate elected Government in Iraq must hide inside the "green zone" in Baghdad and in Afghanistan the government of Hamid Karzai has little to no power outside Kabul. The only way to achieve freedom, independence and a government by and acceptable to the people is to end US military occupation.

Therefore, for the occupying force to say that they are going to stay until there is a stable government in power is both a conundrum and a travesty. The occupation is what *prevents* legitimate government. There can be no legitimate government until the occupier leaves. To say otherwise is not just putting the 'cart before the horse' it's pretending to put a make-believe (democracy) cart in the road before the horse (engine = freedom and independence) is even born! Any military or purely political settlement in the Middle East would not, by itself, bring peace or stability. In other words, a purely political settlement would leave the religious nature of the problems unsolved.

Another fallacy in neo-con planning is that the democratization of Muslim countries will bring to power in those countries 'pro-western' governments but, in reality, will bring to power theocratic governments based in Sharia law. Such governments will be anti-western because Western governments are seen by them as thinly disguised Christian theocracies covered over with the camouflage of democracy. The more the Christian right gains ascendancy and power in America the more they are assured of that assumption. The more the Christian governments clamor for war against Muslim countries the more they are convinced of that assumption. The more the Christian governments portray Islam as the great evil to be converted or destroyed in the coming Armageddon the more they are positive of that assumption.

While we are on the subject of lies, absurdities and conundrums, the bush administration has said repeatedly that we must stay in Iraq until the Iraqi military are capable of keeping the Iraqi people secure: "They stand up so we can stand down." The Iraqi people will never be truly secure until their own Iraqi military can, by their own means, power and ability *PREVENT* any country (or elected petty dictator of the world) from invading their country and killing hundreds of thousands of their citizens with weapons of mass-destruction. The Iraqi people will never be secure until their own military can expel any foreign invader from their sovereign territory. Who is the force that invaded and is occupying their territory? We are! Do you understand? Bush is saying that we are going to stay there until the Iraqi military can defeat and eject the US military! *Until they do they will never have territorial sovereignty or security* any more than they will have freedom, independence or a legitimate government.

The Phony War against Terrorism, like the War against Drugs is most similar to the state of Martial Law in any Third World Country. "*When you have a state of war, civil rights are suspended for the duration of the*

## M O N O T H E I S M

conflict..."<sup>52</sup> Many people are finding out that their civil rights no longer exist even if they have never used any illegal drug in their life. All Constitutional protections are removed from everyone, not just those involved with drugs or terrorism, which makes all people potential victims of the laws that are supposed to protect them. *"That our Supreme Court has voted to uphold seizures without due process, search warrants on the slightest of evidence, excessive bails, and mandatory jail sentences is clear evidence of how we have been betrayed."*<sup>53</sup>

I have used the term authoritarianism throughout this article to describe the mindset of those in the government. It was not surprising when I found the following quote:

*(William) Bennett freely admitted drug enforcement was but an instrument of a wider agenda, calling for "the reconstitution of legal and social authority through the imposition of appropriate consequences for drug dealing and drug use. The drug crisis," he told the Washington Hebrew congregation, "is a crisis of authority, in every sense of the term 'authority.'"*<sup>54</sup>

Mr. Szasz is certainly right when he says:

*There is only one political sin: independence; and only one political virtue: obedience. To put it differently, there is only one offence against authority: self-control; and only one obeisance to it: submission to control by authority.*

*Autonomy is the death knell of authority, and authority knows it: hence the ceaseless warfare of authority against the exercise, both real and symbolic, of autonomy...*<sup>55</sup>

Authoritarian Power over all citizens of America is not the type of government the founding fathers designed. They deliberately designed a limited federal government. That is not what we have today. *"In a dictatorship it is important that armed authority take on a national identity and power."*<sup>56</sup> Hitler's Germany, Stalin's Russia, Mussolini's Italy and all of the world's most tyrannical regimes have followed the same pattern that we see here in America today. These governments all waged war against selected segments of their own society in order to 'save' or 'protect' the society. They all issued laws that were against the better interest of their own people in the name of security for the people. Or, as Mr. Jaspers said above, they will "promise security and utterly wreck it at the same time." Not surprisingly the inquisition, a war against those who did not think as they were told to, was called a war to 'save' souls. Thomas Paine warned us, *"The greatest tyrannies are always perpetrated in the name of the noblest causes."*

It is the conscious thought altering aspects that are the intent of the laws against cannabis. The 'crime' of 'possession' is merely the technicality of the act of getting stoned. One has to 'possess' it in order to smoke it. The

---

52 D. Baum, *Smoke and Mirrors, The War on Drugs and the Politics of Failure*. Boston, New York, Toronto and London. Little, Brown and Company, 1996, pg. 252.

53 S. Kubby, *The Politics of Consciousness*, Port Townsend, WA. Loompanics Unlimited, 1995, Pg. 67.

54 D. Baum, *Smoke and Mirrors, The War on Drugs and the Politics of Failure*. Boston, New York, Toronto and London.: Little, Brown and Company, 1996, pg. 266.

55 T. Szasz, *Ceremonial Chemistry, The Ritual Persecution of Drugs, Addicts, and Pushers*. Garden City, New York.: Anchor Press/Doubleday, 1975, Pg. 164.

56 M. Stepanian, *Pot Shots*, New York, NY.: Dell Publishing Co., Inc., 1972. pg. 108.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

intent of the law is not to prevent 'possession,' the intent of the law is to prevent people from being high. When stoned one thinks with a slightly altered perception. The law demands that you should not think in this manner i.e. stoned. The real crime is stoned thinking. The truth is, what we have here is 'thought crime'. Being stoned is a 'thought crime' because the intent of the law is to prevent the slight alteration of a person's thoughts via *cannabis*.

There is very little difference between telling a person how to think and what to think. Once the laws are on the books and the machinery constructed to persecute and punish, and the people except them, dictating *how* a person should think is but a short step to demanding *what* they should think. This sounds very much like what Mr. Trevor-Roper meant when he said, "...*the engine of persecution [of witches, heretics and Jews] was set up before its future victims were legally subject to it.*"<sup>57</sup> Herein the past, present and future all meet.

Author Harry Elmer Barnes called this state of affairs "*Perpetual War for Perpetual Peace.*" Hermann Goring, at his trial in Nuremberg said, "*The people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders... This is easy. All you have to do is to tell them they are being attacked and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same in every country.*" Freedom cannot exist in a country whose government treats its own citizens as "the enemy". The nature of a police state that waged war against its own citizens was portrayed in George Orwell's 1984. Here is a paraphrase of the principles of the oligarchical collectivism practiced by INGSOC:

*In accordance with the principles of doublethink it does not matter if the war is real or whether it is not. Victory is not its purpose or intent. The war is not meant to be won it is meant to be continuous. A hierarchical society is only possible on the bases of poverty and ignorance. The war is waged by the ruling group against its own subjects and its object is not victory over EurAsia [crime/drugs] or Eastasia [terrorism/Islam] but to provide a continual excuse for keeping the hierarchical structure of society intact.*<sup>58</sup>

How close are we to becoming a totalitarianism? So close it is scary. I am getting old but see the progress of it in my time. It is hiding in plain sight but we do not see its malign face. When things really start going bad in America we will all get to see its violent, dictatorial, repressive and deadly face. More than a whole generation will pass away before America the Free begins to return again, if it ever does. Great and terrible changes have taken place just in the last few years and are happening as you read this today. Sheldon Wolin's article: *Inverted Totalitarianism* gives an example of how the words we use undermine our thinking and by extension our freedom.

*The change has been intimated by the sudden popularity of two political terms rarely applied earlier to the American political system. "Empire" and "superpower" both suggest that a new system of power, concentrated and expansive, has come into existence and supplanted the old terms. "Empire" and "superpower" accurately symbolize the projection of American*

---

<sup>57</sup> *The European Witch-Craze of the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries.* New York, Hagerstown, San Francisco, London.: Harper Torchbooks, Harper & Row Publishers, 1967, pg. 109.

<sup>58</sup> pg. 152+9.

## M O N O T H E I S M

*power abroad, but for that reason they obscure the internal consequences. Consider how odd it would sound if we were to refer to "the Constitution of the American Empire" or "superpower democracy." The reason they ring false is that "constitution" signifies limitations on power, while "democracy" commonly refers to the active involvement of citizens with their government and the responsiveness of government to its citizens. For their part, "empire" and "superpower" stand for the surpassing of limits and the dwarfing of the citizenry.<sup>59</sup>*

Words are important. From now on when you hear "New World Order" say to yourself: no that's "New World HOLY Order." Soon you will see more and more evidence of that reality. When you hear someone talking about 'war on the middle class,' say to yourself: that's really 'economic war against the middle class.' There is a shooting, killing and dying war going on the Middle East but the war in and against America is an economic war. The same economic war has been going on in South America for more than a decade. Down there it is more blatant and out in the open. The people see it for what it is and that is why they have unwittingly been voting in socialist governments.

Is there anything left of the Constitution and Bill of Rights as it relates to the rights of the people to be free from governmental force? Many would say it is already dead. For every protection there are now legal loopholes, exemption and exceptions that have diminished the power of, overruled or completely overturned each and every section of the Bill of Rights. What few freedoms we do have can be removed whenever the government announces a state of Martial Law because of some natural disaster, staged terrorist incident or wide spread civil unrest. A civil unrest and massive protests perhaps because of job and food shortages caused by a deliberately staged economic disaster?

Two things we need to focus on when looking at the transition from a republic with freedom to a theocracy and anti-freedom are the courts and detainment. Lenin, Mussolini, Stalin, and Hitler all set up military tribunals to circumvent formal courts and laws of their countries. At the same time they set up a system of prisons, gulags or concentration camps to detain and torture "enemies of the state." "Star Chamber" indictments "Inquisitional courts" and inhuman torture were the horrors in history that our constitution was designed to end.

In the Old World Order all the church had to do was force on you a label: Witch. Once that was done no laws applied, all protections were abandoned and you were at the total mercy of the institution. You would be held incognito and incommunicado without bail. You were not allowed to hear the indictment against you, present evidence of your innocence, nor allowed to confront or cross-examine your accuser. You would be tortured until confessing to crimes you did not commit. In this New World HOLY Order they use the label: "enemy combatant" or "Terrorist." Paraphrasing George Orwell, *Terrorism* (is now) "the essential crime that contains all others in itself."

The truth is that the entire scope of inquisitional "law" that our Constitution and Bill of Rights overturned is back on the books and operable in America today. There is no longer any doubt about the fact that America is being transformed into a Theocratic police state. The government is conditioning-citizens into getting used to seeing military type equipment and personal on the streets of America enforcing "civilian" law. FDR said, "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was

---

<sup>59</sup> Sheldon Wolin, *The Nation*, May 19, 2003. Posted May 1, 2003.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

planned that way." Once they have an opportunity institute Martial Law and "suspend" the Constitution, laws and conditions are in place to insure it will never be returned.

*In May 2006, we [prisonplanet.com] exposed the existence of a nationwide FEMA program which is training Pastors and other religious representatives to become secret police enforcers who teach their congregations to "obey the government" in preparation for the implementation of martial law, property and firearm seizures, mass vaccination programs and forced relocation.<sup>60</sup>*

If both Political Parties defended the Constitution and at the same time the American people we would have a much different and a much better America. Politicians do not swear an oath to their party platform or the United Nations they swear an oath to defend the Constitution. It is the essence of treason for a politician to put their political party, or globalism, above their country. Both Political Parties compromise the political sovereignty of America by turning over the ability to make laws regulating the activities of US citizens to an unaccountable and unelected world government.

*"We shall have world government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether world government will be achieved by conquest or consent."<sup>61</sup> What those words really meant was "We will force world government on you whether you like it or not!" Both Political Parties undermine the economic sovereignty of America by turning over the ability to make laws regulating the economic activities of US citizens to unaccountable and unelected NAFTA tribunals. Both Political Parties acquiesce to mislabeled legislation i.e. the so-called Patriot Act.*

There has been a good deal of talk above about collectivism and we need to understand what's going on with Capitalism. It's not your grandfathers Capitalism any more. First, though, we should look at the differences between the two systems. There are the obvious differences of ownership of private property (intellectual through copyright and patents) and ownership of a business. With Capitalism there is a Stock Market where many small investors can pool their money and have an investment in very large corporations. Capitalism makes available to entrepreneurs the opportunity to take their skills and ideas and profit in the marketplace by opening their own small business.

There is one major difference that does not get talked about much but is arguably the most important of all, and that is: *individual reward for individual effort*. In the USSR even if individuals worked much harder and longer than others they would only be paid with "public gratitude" but that's all. Why work harder? The end result was, as the saying in Russia went, *"we pretend to work and they pretend to pay us."* That socialism would end "wage slavery" was an empty promise. In the free-enterprise system he is called a 'boss,' in the collectivist system he is called a 'bureaucrat.' You can't have much of a society with pretend work nor much of a life with a pretend paycheck. Individual reward for individual effort is the hallmark of a free (economic) society.

---

<sup>60</sup> From an article titled, *Feds Train Clergy To "Quell Dissent" During Martial Law* by Paul Joseph Watson, Thursday, August 16, 2007

<sup>61</sup> Paul Warburg, member of the Council on Foreign Relations and architect of the 1913 Federal Reserve Act. From a speech to the US Senate, 17 February 1950.

## M O N O T H E I S M

Both capitalism and collectivism are healthy only insofar as they continue to expand. Capitalism expands via growth in production and an expanding customer base. Collectivism expands through expansion of territory and people. Because of its ability to reward for individual effort, an ability for any individual to become an entrepreneur, widely distribute goods, services and wealth, protect invention through patent and copyright, provide avenues for invention and innovation, furnish incentives for higher education, capitalism is a dynamic system. Because collectivism provides few of these and/or must depend on outside sources for these it is by its very nature parasitic. The same can be said for theocratic collectivism.

Capitalism has the potential of creating and distributing (sharing) vast amounts of goods, services and, yes, wealth among large numbers of people. BUT, Capitalism has a dark side. The 'dark side of the force' is why Capitalism is not the same as in our grandfathers time. Most of us, at one time or another, has played the game of monopoly. You know what happens. One person winds up with all the property and the others wind up with nothing. Capitalism, the way it is being used in the world today, is *Monopoly Capitalism!* The essence of Monopoly Capitalism is economic warfare. The obvious symptoms of Monopoly Capitalism are growing poverty, the destruction of the 'middle class' and a government established and controlled by wealthy corporations and a privileged few.

Above we spoke about the Oligarchic (monopolistic) nature of the oil, grain, media and banking industries. Along with and also interrelated to them are other growing monopolies. The world's three largest water companies are France's Suez and Vivendi Environnement, and British-based Thames Water owned by Germany's RWE AG with three smaller companies, Saur of France, and United Utilities of England and Bechtel of the United States. Within the next 15 years these companies will control 65 percent to 75 percent of what are now public waterworks. Ten companies, whose total market value exceeding \$1.1 trillion, dominate the world's drug industry. They are Pfizer \$244b (b=billion), Johnson and Johnson \$161B, Merck \$124B, GlaxoSmithKline \$119B, Novartis \$113B, Amgen \$83B, Roche \$ 72B, AstraZeneca \$70B, Eli Lilly \$ 67B and Wyeth \$58B

A few extremely super rich are intent on owning the entire world. The 10 richest people own wealth equivalent to the total production of the 50 poorest countries of the world. The top 447 billionaires and mega-millionaires have fortunes greater than that of half of humanity, or the 3 billion poorest people. Monopoly Capitalism is ruthless, viscous and without any mitigating or redeeming factors. *"The issue today is the same as it has been throughout all history, whether man shall be allowed to govern himself or be ruled by a small elite."*<sup>62</sup> The purveyors of Monopoly Capitalism are not just intent on owning the world but ruling it as well. Our grandfathers Capitalism and Monopoly Capitalism are soon going to have a worldwide economic head-on collision. It won't be pretty.

Globalization is a form of class warfare waged via corporate violence by the rich and powerful against the poor, working people and their labor unions. Globalizers are driven to politically/militarily crush those who dare resist any of their demands. The militarization of politics, that coincides with penurious propaganda and the willingness to use unlimited violence (total

---

<sup>62</sup> Thomas Jefferson.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

war, even against its own citizens) to attain its goals, was characteristic of the Nazis, and is now a central tenet of the Christian government of the U.S.A. Promoting World Citizenship over National Sovereignty is now Official US government policy.

The "New Economy" talked about in the '90s is just plain old socialism. The central idea of socialist planning is to take away from the rich (Bourgeoisie) and give to the poor (proletariat) to bring about "equality". In this case the plan, *on the global level*, is to take away from the "rich" country and give to the "poor" countries. The way to do that is to take the wealth creating capacity of America's manufacturing base and send it overseas. The means (economic weapons) to do that are interdependent economics, NAFTA, WTO, GATT, World Bank, IMF, Globalization, privatization, so-called "free trade." And what country has gotten the greatest benefit from the destruction of America's economy at the hands of socialist planners: communist China!

The economic hardships, problems and pain we Americans are feeling these days can be directly related to monopolistic globalization and interdependent global governance because they are the transitional stages from our free enterprise system towards the system of socialist collectivism. The problem, as Ludwig von Mises explained, is that capitalism and collectivism are irreconcilable. One relies on free, voluntary exchange the other relies on bureaucratic planning, compulsion and control. One allows private property the other absolutely does not. One allows individual entrepreneurship the other absolutely does not. You cannot combine or synthesize the two systems. If you try, all you get are incremental degrees moving in the direction of socialism.

Interdependent sovereignty is an oxymoron. Sovereignty that is dependent on another country or governmental body is not sovereignty at all. Sovereignty is: Government free from external control (Webster's online dictionary). The goal of Interdependency is to end U. S. Independence. What we are witnessing is the emergence of the New World HOLY Order brought about by a convergence of progressive (socialist) internationalism with neo-conservative interventionism and transnational corporate monopolization. The problem is not just American national sovereignty but the fact that without national sovereignty there can be no social freedom, economic independence or individual sovereignty.

Capitalists have no need or desire to diminish, alter nor abrogate the system that sustains them. Capitalists have no desire to even attempt to merge their system with one that has failed miserably time and time again wherever it has been tried. The attempts to synthesize the two systems are done only by socialists. We should always remember that their style of debate carries a dialectical deceit based on their nefarious ulterior motive to ultimately destroy capitalism and replace it with socialist collectivism. The attempt to do so goes under many names such as; communitarianism, The Third Way, technocracy, the Democratic Leadership Council calls it "tolerant traditionalism" while President Bush uses the term "compassionate conservatism" to name just a few.

A few words about money. Money is *the* most powerful thing in the world! Our money is our sovereignty! This is why the Founders of our nation declared in Section 8 of the Constitution that, "*The Congress shall have Power To coin Money [and] regulate the value thereof..*" The 5th plank of the *Communist Manifesto* calls for the "*Centralization of credit in the hands of the State,*

## M O N O T H E I S M

*by means of a national bank with State capital and an exclusive monopoly."* Marx wanted the banks in the hands of the state where he or his followers were in control of the state. In America the State is in control of 'we the people' through our elected representatives. Therefore, for America, the reverse was to be implemented, i.e. the money power had to be taken away from the government and put into the hands of a private monopoly. The words of Thomas Jefferson have been fulfilled in our time:

*I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance. This issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people to whom it properly belongs. If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around them will deprive the people of all property until their children will wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered.*

On December 23, 1913 Congress passed the Federal Reserve Act while 103 elected officials (76 Representatives and 27 Senators) were gone for Christmas. Many of the Congressmen left did not have time to read the entire bill filled with technical banking vocabulary. Keeping his campaign promise to the bankers, President Woodrow Wilson signed the act that turned the economic prosperity of our country over to private bankers. Wilson was later to say, "*I have unwittingly ruined my country.*" Then, in 1920, the Independent Treasury Act suspended the Treasury Department of the United States government and turned its activities over to the private Federal Reserve. A person who reneges on an oath of loyalty and in some way betrays the nation or willfully cooperates with an enemy, is considered to be a traitor. The traitorous Christian Congress betrayed their oath of office and turned the US Treasury Department over to a private for profit corporation: the Federal Reserve. The ownership of the Federal Reserve System is a very well kept secret from the American people. It is held by these banking interests:

Rothschild Bank of London  
Rothschild Bank of Berlin  
Warburg Bank of Hamburg  
Warburg Bank of Amsterdam  
Lazard Brothers of Paris  
Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy  
Chase Manhattan Bank of New York  
Goldman, Sachs of New York  
Lehman Brothers of New York  
Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York

Fractional-reserve banking is inherently fraudulent, because, as the bank's managers know, it is impossible for the bank to simultaneously fulfill all its promises to redeem its outstanding notes "on demand" therefore the bank's *promises to pay* are false. But the truly fraudulent thing is that the bank's customers think that because there is a "FDIC" on the front of the bank their money is safe. They are ignorant of how the fractional-reserve scheme works, and the dangers it poses to their financial stability and the financial stability of the nation. Henry Ford said, "*It is well that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning.*"

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

*The most hated sort [of wealth getting] and with the greatest reason, is usury, which makes a gain out of money itself and not from the natural object of it. For money was intended to be used in exchange but not to increase at interest. And this term interest (tokos), which means the birth of money from money is applied to the breeding of money because the offspring resembles the parent. Wherefore of all modes of getting wealth, this is the most unnatural.*<sup>63</sup>

The Federal Reserve operates kind of like a Ponzi scheme. Let's suppose you borrow \$1000.00 from the Fed. The Fed creates this amount just by filling in a line on a ledger sheet. The Fed then directs the Treasury Department to print up \$1000.00 dollars. It gives that \$1000.00 to you and also charges you 5% interest. BUT! And here is the cheat: it does NOT print up the \$50 for you to pay the interest with. How are you going to pay your debt of \$1050.00? Multiply that by a billion times or a million times a billion. There comes a 'tipping point' where there is not enough money in the entire world to pay the accumulated interest debt. The Fed continues to put more currency into circulation only so long as they want to prop the system up and keep the scheme going. The collapse of our economic system is inherent by the design of the fractional reserve system. They can prop it up or they can let it collapse. They will do so at a time of their choosing.

Federal Reserve bankers are internationalists and therefore owe no allegiance to the country where they operate. Internationalism is just another way to say Globalism. At their core Federal Reserve bankers claim to answer only to a "higher authority" = PROFIT and place themselves above politics. No bank can be left out, in competition with or opposed to the Federal Reserve system. The original international banker, Mayer Amschel Rothschild said, "Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes it's laws." Why would he make such a statement? Because he knew that money is the real sovereign power which all governments must bow to. Remember the words of Lord Acton, "Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely." The bankers explain their intent and convict themselves with their own words:

*Capital must protect itself in every possible manner by combination and legislation. Debts must be collected, bonds and mortgages must be foreclosed as rapidly as possible. When, through a process of law, the common people lose their homes they will become more docile and more easily governed through the influence of the strong arm of government, applied by a central power of wealth under control of leading financiers. This truth is well known among our principal men now engaged in forming an imperialism of Capital to govern the world. By dividing the voters through the political party system, we can get them to expend their energies in fighting over questions of no importance to us except as teachers of the common herd. Thus by discreet action we can secure for ourselves what has been so well planned and so successfully accomplished.*<sup>64</sup>

Franklin D. Roosevelt admonished America that, "The liberty of a democracy is not safe if the people tolerate the growth of private power to a point where it comes stronger than their democratic state itself. That, in its

---

<sup>63</sup> Aristotle (384-322 BC) (from, *POLITICS* 1258b)

<sup>64</sup> USA Banker's Magazine, August 25 1924.

## M O N O T H E I S M

*essence, is fascism - ownership of government by an individual, by a group.."* Congressman Louis T. McFadden, former Chairman of the Committee on Banking and Currency, understood the power and the danger to America posed by the private banking and credit monopoly that produces money out of thin air and charges usury on every dollar spent by the government.

*Mr. Chairman, we have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal reserve banks. The Federal Reserve Board, a Government Board, has cheated the Government of the United States and the people of the United States out of enough money to pay the national debt. The depredations and the iniquities of the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal reserve banks acting together have cost this country enough money to pay the national debt several times over. This evil institution has impoverished and ruined the people of the United States; has bankrupted itself, and has practically bankrupted our Government. It has done this through the maladministration of that law by which the Federal Reserve Board, and through the corrupt practices of the moneyed vultures who control it.*

*When the Federal Reserve Act was passed, the people of these United States did not perceive that a world banking system was being set up here. A super-state controlled by international bankers and industrialists...acting together to enslave the world...Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its powers but the truth is -- the Fed has usurped the government.*

On June 4, 1963 John Fitzgerald Kennedy signed a Presidential decree, Executive Order 11110, that gave the authority to strip the Federal Reserve Bank of its power to loan money to the United States Federal Government at interest. Kennedy said, *"The high office of the President has been used to foment a plot to destroy the American's freedom and before I leave office, I must inform the citizens of this plight."*<sup>65</sup> When President Kennedy signed this Executive Order, it returned to the federal government, specifically the Treasury Department, the Constitutional power to create and issue money without going through the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. President Kennedy's Executive Order 11110 gave the Treasury Department the explicit authority: *"to issue silver certificates against any silver bullion, silver, or standard silver dollars in the Treasury."* With this Executive Order President Kennedy assured that the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank would soon be out of business. Five months later President Kennedy was dead.

Richard Nixon was a follower of economist British Lord John Maynard Keynes who said, *"By this means government may secretly and unobserved, confiscate the wealth of the people, and not one man in a million will detect the theft."* In 1971 Richard Nixon canceled the Bretton Woods Agreement. That act removed all ties that the U.S. dollar had with gold and the Fed was now free to print as many dollars that it wanted to - and it did. Since 1972 the world dollar base has expanded over 400% coincidentally, so have prices of goods and services. The more dollars put into circulation devalues the dollars already in circulation. The dollar has lost 94% of its value since 1940 and we're faced with the prospect that it will lose its place as the world's reserve currency. Oil producing nations are already re-denominating away from dollars and into Euros. Whenever another monetary crisis, created by the fed,

---

<sup>65</sup> President John Fitzgerald Kennedy, to a Columbia University class on Nov. 12, 1963, ten days before his assassination.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

happens there is another bailout, the taxpayers pay for it and the Fed will print more dollars and create more debt. This scenario will continue until the final crisis.

National Debt Counter (Now Over \$10,000,000,000,000)

Each year since 1969, Congress has spent more money than it has taken in. The Treasury Department borrows money to meet congressional appropriations. The total borrowed is more than \$10,000,000,000,000 and growing. What is worse is that the ten billion dollars a month (soon to total trillions) being spent on the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq are Off Budget. (As in the billions of dollars that have gone missing in Afghanistan and Iraq.) They do not appear on any debt report. They are called *Supplementals* and are a hidden tax leveled against every citizen in America. We pay interest on that huge tax debt. The interest paid on the National Debt is the third largest expense in the federal budget. Ever increasing deficit spending is necessary to pay the interest and make the economy look good.

By far the biggest rat-hole of debt is WAR! War is the greatest waste of resources, money and people. Since the advent of the atomic bomb and "mutual assured destruction" came the political policy of "limited land wars". Wars are not fought for territorial gains. Wars are fought to place both sides into vast and unpayable DEBT thus putting both sides at the mercy of international bankers, except for those countries that wage war with other people's money.

Remember what Thomas Jefferson said about, "*the banks and corporations that will grow up around them.*" The corporations that profit most are the war material industries that, not surprisingly, are the biggest and most profitable today. Not only is the money spent on war a colossal waste but it is monies that are not spent to maintain and build up America. We have an almost uncountable number of bridges in America in need of repair and/or replacing. We have toxic waste sites badly in need of cleanup. We have so many things that need being done here in our country yet our government talks about, plans for, and wastes money on war! Remember, it's your money.

Cost of Iraq War Counter (Closing in on \$500,000,000,000)

There are three basic ways to transform a capitalist system into a socialist collectivism. The first is well known: the revolution, the violent overthrow of the state and establishment of communism by force. The second way is through 'democratic socialism' also known as socialism via the ballot box. This is what happened in England just after the Second World War. In the UK the Labor Party is openly known as the "Socialist" party. The third way, less known and understood, is through monopolization by a small group of all industry, capital and property. This third way must be assisted from within the government and the Executive bureaucracy. This third way goes by the name "privatization".

*The number of the "capitalist magnates" is continually decreasing. "The centralization of the means of production and the socialization of labor reach a point where they become incompatible with their capitalist framework. They burst it. The last hour of capitalist private property has arrived. The expropriators are expropriated. "This is the "expropriation of the few usurpers by the mass of the people," through the "transformation of capitalist ownership, which actually rests already on social production, into social ownership," a process much less*

## M O N O T H E I S M

"lengthy, hard, and difficult" than was, in its own time, the process that transformed the private ownership of individuals doing their own work into capitalist ownership.

Marx gives a dialectical turn to his contentions. "Capitalist private ownership is the first negation of the individual private ownership created by the workers' toil. But, with the inevitability of a natural process, capitalist production brings forth its own negation. It is the negation of the negation. This does not re-establish private ownership, by only individual ownership based on the achievements of the capitalist era: co-operation and the collective ownership of land and of the means or production produced by labor."<sup>66</sup>

Let's put the above in easier to understand terms. Marx is suggesting that at the end of the process of monopolization (the first negation) the "mass of the people" expropriate the few usurpers (owners of capitalist industries)(the second negation). Just how or by what mechanism that is accomplished he never explains. The reason the second "negation" can never happen is that the first "negation" must be accomplished with the acquiescence of a compliant and complicit authoritarian government. The "transformation of capitalist ownership...into social ownership" must happen from the top down. Absolute authoritarian government was the benchmark of the USSR. The people of Russia did not want or vote for a communist dictatorship. It was forced upon them. The biggest mistake Marx makes in his dialectic is the absurd assumption that a free people will actively overthrow self-government and free enterprise in favor of authoritarian collectivism.

Long before the words socialism and communism were coined the original purveyors of that system said the government was not just to be made all powerful but absolute! The "withering away of the state" was the lie invented for the inclusion of the anarchist that didn't want any state control. Only later was it used as the excuse why communism did not work. Another lie was that class differences would disappear in a condition of 'equality' through collective ownership. In truth the ruling group constituted a distinct social class that used their power to protect their own interests, aspirations, privileges and enjoyment of exclusive advantages and material rewards. The so called "dictatorship of the proletariat" was the lie or lure to sucker people into thinking that someday they would be in control of the apparatus of government. It never happened. It was never meant to. Communists are "socialist" in the same way that Republicans are "compassionate conservatives". That is, they give lip service to ideals they have no intention of practicing and promises they have no intention of keeping.

The one true statement above is that, "*The number of the 'capitalist magnates' is continually decreasing.*" We are feeling the privation of increasing poverty (gap between the rich and poor) brought about by the process of globalism and monopolization. Huge multinational corporations, through their lobbyist, have more access, power and control over the functions of our government than we the people do. The Executive branch enforces laws selectively. On the one hand they have laws that help people (border security, immigration laws, anti-monopoly laws) that they do not enforce and, on the other hand, they have laws that are against the people that they enforce with vigor (war, a nonexistent income tax law, domestic surveillance, signing statements, insulation of governmental from compliance

---

<sup>66</sup> This quotation comes from chapter 22 of *Socialism* by Ludwig von Mises. His book can be found at [www.econlib.org](http://www.econlib.org). The econlib.org site has many great books.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

with laws enforced on we citizens, anti-cannabis laws). "The more corrupt the state, the more numerous the laws."<sup>67</sup>

*Thus Robespierre did not talk hypocritically of "peaceful revolution"; he knew that revolution is never peaceful, that in its very essence it implies onslaught met with resistance, a resistance that can only be overcome by an absolute disregard for human life. "I will willingly walk with my feet in blood and tears," said his coadjutor Saint-Just; and this whether he admits it or not, must be the maxim of every revolutionary Socialist who believes that any methods are justifiable the attainment of his end.*<sup>68</sup>

The one thing that all the globalist Socialists/Marxists know is that they must disarm America. Janet Reno said, "Waiting periods are only a step. Registration is only a step. The prohibition of private firearms is the goal." They know that the death sentence they have arranged for our American way of life and the destruction of the US Constitution is not going to be accepted by the patriots in this country. In the words of Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., "We are not going to achieve a New World Order without paying for it in blood as well as in words and money."<sup>69</sup> Remember the protesters at Kent State? US soldiers are willing to shoot and kill Americans if ordered to do so by UN commanders. In the words of Sarah Brady:

*Our main agenda is to have ALL guns banned. We must use whatever means possible. It doesn't matter if you have to distort facts or even lie. Our task of creating a Socialist America can only succeed when those who would resist us have been totally disarmed.*<sup>70</sup>

How much plainer can it be stated? The Goal is a Socialist America and the people must be disarmed because they will resist! Those who would destroy freedom will use any deception, force or treachery to seize the property of others without hesitation if by it they can secure submission of the defenseless. "The first place in the influences that built up the socialist movement (in England) must be given to religion."<sup>71</sup> How does this relate to religion in America? Well, all one has to do is look at the other organizations that are on board with the plan to disarm America. Before crimes against humanity can be committed the perpetrators must contrive to make their victims defenseless. The proof of this can easily be seen in history.

*But did God really endorse the Brady Bill? One would certainly think so, given the huge number of Protestant, Catholic, and Jewish religious organizations that endorsed the Brady Bill, and which endorse virtually every other gun control proposal. God's anti-gun army is prominent not just in Washington, but also in the state legislatures.*<sup>72</sup>

---

<sup>67</sup> Tacitus, Roman senator and historian (CE 56-115).

<sup>68</sup> Nesta Webster, *World Revolution*, pg.41

<sup>69</sup> In *Foreign Affairs*, July/August 1995.

<sup>70</sup> Sarah Brady (President of Handgun Control, Inc.) to Senator Howard Metzenbaum, *The National Educator*, January 1994, Page 3. From: <http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/9694/ioBradyReno.html>

<sup>71</sup> John T Flynn, *The Road Ahead*, Chapter ten: The "Kingdom of God" Pg. 107, The Committee for Constitutional Government. Inc. New York, NY 1949. It is amazing to read how the socialists destroyed the economy of England through the 1940s and 1950s and the parallels we have seen in America in the last few years.

<sup>72</sup> In an article from newsvine.com titled, *Does God Believe in Gun Control?*

## M O N O T H E I S M

Obscurity, obfuscation, deceit, and deliberate misdirection was the main political weapon of socialism and communism and is just about all we get today from the Christians in our government and media. Government lies and spin doctored half truths repeated incessantly by a compliant news media lead citizens to decisions that are against their own best interest. In the name of equality, fairness, peace, democracy, freedom and tolerance, they are shoving globalism, socialism, poverty and inequality down our throats. Are we going to swallow their lies just as the German people swallowed the lies of Hitler, the Russians the lies of Stalin and the Italians the lies of Mussolini? The question for America is, will America allow Christians to actively overthrow freedom in favor of a bible based theocratic authoritarian collectivism? Will godless communism be replaced by god-centered communism?

The next major step or stage in the production of the New World HOLY Order will be an international bankruptcy. At that time all private property will be taken out of the hands of all citizens. The privately owned Federal Reserve will foreclose its debt against America. When all the debt in the country is added up, at last estimate, it comes to between 50 and 80 trillion dollars (or more). There will be only two landholders left in America: banks and churches. Being tax free, churches do not share the debt for the holy wars waged on their behalf. What kind of country is it where there is no private property, where those that are the holders of property and are controllers of international corporations are also those that staff and run the government, whose elected representatives actively support a god centered world order, and has a bible in place of a Constitution? I call it the New World HOLY Order.

*Today, America would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order. Tomorrow they will be grateful! This is especially true if they were told that there were an outside threat from beyond, whether real or 'promulgated,' that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the world will plead to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this 'scenario', individual rights will be willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well-being granted to them by the World Government.<sup>73</sup>*

The theological totalitarianism being forced on America is completely unlike any previous power structure. Their aim is absolute monopolies over, knowledge, economic and political power, communication (especially the Internet), energy, water, food, medicine, territories, resources and peoples. Modern technology has given government the means to control society at a very basic level. This totalitarianism is more invasive, more reliant on propaganda and on terror to gain the total assent of the populace for its ongoing warfare and right to rule society. They want to 'call' it America but they don't it to 'be' America.

It is not enough to have overpowering military might but that the world must fear it. It requires 24-hour propaganda announcing its military superiority will annihilate anyone or anything that gets in its way. The US military is just a tool as is government at all levels. They don't give a damn what happens to America, American soldiers, American workers or the U.S. infrastructure. The more the American economy is destroyed the more young people, with no other jobs to go to, are forced into a choice between the underground economy (crime-drugs) to feed the internal repressive police

---

<sup>73</sup> Henry Kissinger.

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

state or forced to become "cannon fodder" for the cabal wars to feed the external expanding military Empire.

*And our system, like any system, is obeying the second law of thermodynamics. Everything is running down; and we are well advanced along the yellow brick road to entropy. I don't think much of anything can be done to halt this progress under our present political-economic system. We lost poor Arthur's pendulum in 1950 when our original Constitution was secretly replaced with the apparatus of the national security state, which still wastes most of our tax money on war related matters. Hence deteriorating schools, and so on.*

*It wants to establish, through legal prohibitions and enforced taboos, a sky-god totalitarian state. The United States ultimately as prison, with mandatory blood, urine and lie-detector tests and with sky-godders as cops, answerable only to God...<sup>74</sup>*

There are differences between Christian fundamentalist and Moslem fundamentalist. Moslem fundamentalists hate the American government (not America per se.) because the American government and its allies wantonly kill their mothers, fathers, brothers, sisters, wives, husbands, sons and daughters. To understand more fully we must separate Moslem clerics and Moslem people generally. Moslem people are dismayed and disappointed that the American people do not do more to reign in this disastrous administration that everyone knows lied in order to start two wars. They do not hate us because we have freedom, if anything at all, they are envious of us for our freedoms.

Christian fundamentalists hate America because our constitution allows us too much freedom. They hate freedom for woman's equality and choice. They hate freedom for gays to exist. They hate freedom for opposing or competing ideas. They hate freedom to teach evolution. They hate Oregon's "death with dignity" law. Christians hate our freedom to do stem cell research. But, most of all, they hate freedom of religion because it allows people the right NOT to believe in any religion. And, it prevents religious fanatics from forcing their particular form of plagiarized paganism on people who do not want it. Christians believe it is morally acceptable to trick, bully and force anyone into converting and killing them if they do not. Their intent is to replace our constitution with the bible and tear down the "wall of separation between state and church" and replace it with a "religious iron curtain" forever separating America from freedom.

Can the christian religion exist within a free society without waging war against that society? America is the first Western nation to allow freedom of religion sense the Roman Empire (before Constantine's conversion). The founders of America not only allowed individual freedom regarding a person's choice in religious matters but also allowed the institutions of religion tax-free status. Today they are using their oligarchical collectivistic tax-free organization to subvert the very country that gives them liberty. Perhaps the question should be: How can an institution based on ideological totalitarianism exist within a free society and NOT wage war against that society?

There's not much variance between the right wing Islamic fundamentalists and right wing Christian fundamentalists. They are both calling for "death to America." The only real difference is the Christian fundamentalists use code

---

<sup>74</sup> Gore Vidal, 'The Lowell Lecture' at Harvard University.

## M O N O T H E I S M

words to mask their intentions. They call for "restructuring America" and "Taking back America" and "Civic Renewal" none of which can be done without a simultaneous deathblow to America the free. The question is, 'if you are not part of the solution are you part of the problem?' Many today call themselves patriots but, patriots to what? On which side of the issues of freedom do they really stand? For me the choice is easy but how will you choose? Will you give lip service to freedom then turn your back on it? Will you evade the issue? Will you run away and hide from the question? Will you cop-out and refuse to choose a side? So what is the great choice confronting each American today?

The question comes down to this: *Which one would you trashcan first; the Bible -OR- the Constitution and Bill of Rights?*

If you refuse to choose you still have made a choice; the choice to hide your inner choice from public view! 'Neither' is a cop-out and only traitors' need a cop-out. When you openly answer that question and openly make that choice we will see whether you are a patriot or just another fellow traveler of the New World HOLY Order. It would (or perhaps not) shock you at just how many Christians I have asked this question who have said "f#\*k the constitution."

Ask a few Christians this question yourself and you will soon find out just what they *really* think of the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Ask them what they think about the Constitution *first*, let them talk, then ask the question and watch how fast they change face. Watch how they use every excuse and ploy to run away from, dodge, bluster their way out of, or keep from making a clear and decisive choice in favor of the Constitution. It is obvious why: If they had their choice the Constitution and Bill of Rights would have been tied to a stake and burned long ago!

*Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.<sup>75</sup>*

Increasingly I hear individuals on TV saying things like, "The system is broken," and "We need to make fundamental changes." I disagree. The "system" is not the problem. The problem is the people who corrupt and manipulate the system and cause harm to others in their quest for profit and power. The "axis of evil" that, *working together*, poses the greatest danger and national security threats to America are Christian fundamentalism, monopolistic transnational corporations and the United States government. The lie that there is some foreign enemy, some country or individuals that somehow threaten this, the most powerful nation on the planet, is a 'red herring' to blind the public from understanding the real danger is already here and in full control of our government.

There simply is not a wide variety choices when it comes to political and/or economic systems. When you hear someone talking against a particular system

---

<sup>75</sup> *The Declaration of Independence of the Thirteen Colonies. July 4, 1776*

## T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

yet they do not, right up front, explicitly, plainly and straightforwardly name the system they are advocating for they are trying to trick (con) you! Yes, capitalism has flaws but the solution is not to scrap the whole system in favor of theocratic socialist collectivism but to enforce laws, already on the books, to reign in irresponsible individuals and corporations. The solution is to elect individuals that will support middle class working people, protect the sovereignty of America, and defend our Constitution and Bill of Rights.

*Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day. But a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, and pursued unalterably through every change of ministers (administrations), too plainly proves a deliberate systematic plan of reducing us to slavery.<sup>76</sup>*

For one, I am a constitutionalist and think that the foundation the framers gave us should not be altered. That being said, it is obvious that abuse of power on a grand scale at the federal level is at the root of the many problems faced by the average citizen in America today. The idea and actuality of every freedom is being restricted, compromised, narrowed, assaulted, overturned, and held captive by governmental agencies at all levels. "None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free."<sup>77</sup> When the government cannot use American law to accomplish its nefarious deeds it uses international laws, the use of which is strictly unconstitutional.

*A strict observance of the written laws is doubtless one of the high duties of a good citizen, but it is not the highest. The laws of necessity, of self-preservation, of saving our country when in danger, are of higher obligation. To lose our country by a scrupulous adherence to written law would be to lose the law itself, with life, liberty, property, and all those who are enjoying them with us; thus absurdly sacrificing the end to the means.<sup>78</sup>*

How will historians in the future view our time? What will they think about a people that let the greatest gift of freedom slip through their fingers because they were too busy watching so-called 'reality' TV shows and chasing idols? How will the future judge a citizenry that sat idly by while the constitution their forefathers secured for them by sweat and blood was destroyed by demigods lusting for wealth and power? How, even, will our own children and grandchildren feel about their parents and grandparents who let America die when they had the time and duty to save it.

*Still, if you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not so costly, you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance for survival. There may be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no chance of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.<sup>79</sup>*

It is not without research, understanding, observation and debate that I come to the conclusion of, and speak about, the Christian communist

---

<sup>76</sup> Thomas Jefferson.

<sup>77</sup> Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (1749 - 1832).

<sup>78</sup> Thomas Jefferson to John Colvin, 1810.

<sup>79</sup> Winston Churchill

M O N O T H E I S M

Constitution killers who, if left to continue on their present path, will destroy our democratic Republic. Religious fanatics wanting to end religious freedom and usher in a New World HOLY Order will overturn our country, which was founded by people seeking freedom from religious oppression in Europe. *"The greatest threat to mankind and civilization is the spread of the totalitarian philosophy. Its best ally is not the devotion of its followers but the confusion of its enemies. To fight it, we must understand it."*<sup>80</sup>

*Other misfortunes may be borne, or their effects overcome. If disastrous wars should sweep our commerce from the ocean, another generation may renew it; if it exhaust our treasury, future industry may replenish it; if it desolate and lay waste our fields, still, under new cultivation, they will grow green again, and ripen to future harvests.*

*It were but a trifle even if the walls of yonder Capitol were to crumble, if its lofty pillars should fall, and it's gorgeous decorations be all covered by the dust of the valley. All these may be rebuilt.*

*But who shall reconstruct the fabric of demolished government?*

*Who shall rear again the well-proportioned columns of constitutional liberty?*

*Who shall frame together the skillful architecture, which unites national sovereignty with State rights, individual security, and Public prosperity?*

*No, if these columns fall, they will be raised not again. Like the Coliseum and the Parthenon, they will be destined to a mournful and melancholy immortality. Bitter tears, however, will flow over them than were ever shed over the monuments of Rome or Grecian art; for they will be the monuments of a more glorious edifice than Greece or Rome ever saw, the edifice of constitutional American liberty.*<sup>81</sup>

How wonderful it would be if Americans reconnected with the true doctrine of liberty espoused by our founders and aspired again to be a beacon of freedom in the world. Will they have to lose it all before they even realize it is in peril? What will America be like 25 or 50 years from now? Will our flag still wave o'er the land of the free and the home of the brave? Will people compromise away all freedom for the illusion of security? Will the Barbarians inside the gates succeed in erecting The New World HOLY Order? Will the world be governed by an unaccountable theocratic communist totalitarian hypocrisy? Will our children grow up in America the free or the United religious prison State of perpetual punishment?

I cannot answer these questions but I do hope the lamp of freedom will still shine long after I am gone.

Robert Thorne

= = = = =

This page is an ongoing work. Revisit the page often as I try to update it often.

If there is anything you would like to add please send additions or corrections email to: bob[at]fairplan[dot]us

<sup>80</sup> Ayn Rand, *Readers Digest*, January 1944, pg. 88-90.

<sup>81</sup> Daniel Webster in his matchless eulogy on George Washington in 1832.

T O T A L I T A R I A N I S M

For those who have down loaded and read this in PDF format first let me say thank you for taking the time and second please go to the original page and work down through the page looking at the pop-up quotes and graphics. The graphics, maps and quotations explain and enlighten the text tremendously. As they say a picture is worth a thousand words.

Thanks again,  
Bob T.

= = = = = Links worth checking out. = = = = =

[www.au.org/site/PageServer](http://www.au.org/site/PageServer)

Americans United for Separation of Church and State.

-----

[www.ffrf.org/index2](http://www.ffrf.org/index2)

Freedom from Religion Foundation website.

-----

[www.theocracywatch.org](http://www.theocracywatch.org)

The Rise of the Religious Right in the Republican Party.

-----

[www.rationalrevolution.net](http://www.rationalrevolution.net)

History of the Separation of Church and State in America.

-----

[www.metaphoria.org](http://www.metaphoria.org)

*Dr. Bush and Mr. Hyde: The Fundamentalist Shadow of George W. Bush.* By John D. Goldhammer. A great read. Mr. Goldhammer is far more eloquent with words than I.